

中央研究院語言學研究所籌備處會議論文集之二
Symposium Series of the Institute of Linguistics (Preparatory Office)
Academia Sinica, Number 2

中國境內語言暨語言學・第五輯・語言中的互動
CHINESE LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS V:
INTERACTIONS IN LANGUAGE

(抽印本 Offprint)

Interrogative Constructions in Some
Formosan Languages

*Lillian M. Huang, Elizabeth Zeitoun,
Marie M. Yeh, Anna H. Chang, Joy J. Wu*

編輯 edited by

殷允美 *Yuen-mei Yin*・楊懿麗 *I-li Yang*・詹惠珍 *Hui-chen Chan*

中央研究院語言學研究所籌備處 台灣・台北
Institute of Linguistics (Preparatory Office)
Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
中華民國八十八年六月 June 1999

Interrogative Constructions in Some Formosan Languages

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| Lillian M. Huang | <i>National Taiwan Normal University</i> |
| Elizabeth Zeitoun | <i>Academia Sinica</i> |
| Marie M. Yeh | <i>Lien-ho College of Technology and Commerce</i> |
| Anna H. Chang | <i>Ta Jen Junior College of Pharmacy</i> |
| Joy J. Wu | <i>National Taiwan Normal University</i> |

Abstract

This paper attempts to investigate interrogative constructions in some Formosan languages, including yes-no questions, alternative questions and information questions. In the named languages, two strategies are used in forming the yes-no questions: (i) phonological strategy and (ii) lexical/morphological strategy. The alternative questions are investigated here with respect to: (i) presence of a word glossed as 'or', (ii) their intonation patterns, and (iii) 'deletion' of the identical elements. In the information questions, the wh-words can structurally either be nouns or verbs, depending on their being preceded by case markers and the like, or affixed with focus and/or tense/aspect markers. Functionally, these interrogative words can be categorized into three types: (i) nominal interrogatives, i.e. wh-words manifesting participants/referents in argument position and functioning as pronouns/nouns, (ii) adverbial interrogatives, i.e. wh-words designating referents in non-argument position and functioning as adverbs, and (iii) verbal interrogatives, i.e. wh-words functioning as verbs which manifest events.

1. Introduction¹

Questioning represents one of the unique characteristics of the human mind. As a result, ways of forming questions in different languages deserve necessary attention. In most languages, there are two kinds of interrogative constructions, namely, "general" questions and "special" questions (Comrie 1984:18). "General" questions include yes-no questions and alternative questions (cf. Comrie 1984:18-24), and "special" questions (Comrie 1984:25-27) (or what are normally called "information" questions or "content" questions [cf. Harris 1984:70-73]) are questions formed with question words (equivalent to *wh*-words in English). The present paper attempts to investigate interrogative constructions in seven Formosan languages,² including Atayal [the Mayrinax dialect], Saisiyat [the Tungho dialect], Tsou [the Tfuya dialect], Rukai [the Labuan, Maga and Mantauran dialects], Paiwan [the Northern dialect], Puyuma [the Nanwang dialect] and Amis [the Central dialect], all of which, except Saisiyat (cf. Yeh 1991:34), are verb-initial languages. Below, let us first examine the yes-no questions of the named languages.

2. Yes-No Questions

In the Formosan languages investigated, two main strategies are

used to form yes-no questions: the first is phonological; the second lexical/morphological. The phonological strategy is characterized by a change of intonation, pitch accent and/or vowel length; the lexical/morphological strategy is indicated by either the presence of a question word or a bound morpheme. Some languages utilize only one of these two devices; others may use both but semantic variations are observed.

2.1 Phonological Strategy

Yes-no questions in some of the languages studied here only utilize phonological devices. For instance, Paiwan and Tsou use different intonation. In both languages, words generally have stress falling on the penultimate syllable and declarative sentences have the leveling-rising-falling intonation pattern. However, while syntactically resembling their corresponding declarative sentences, the yes-no questions in both languages utilize leveling-rising intonation. Note that the yes-no questions in Paiwan have the pitch accent falling on the last syllable of the last word (e.g. [1b]), whereas in Tsou, the stress remains on the penultimate syllable (e.g. [2b]):³

- (1) Paiwan
- | | | |
|---------------|-------|---------|
| a. ti | palan | timádu |
| [Nom | Palan | 3S.Nom] |
| 'He is Palan' | | |

1. We would like to thank Professors Paul Li, Stanley Starosta and Feng-fu Tsao, and the two anonymous reviewers for their comments and helpful suggestions on the earlier version of this paper. Also, we would like to express our great gratitude to the National Science Council for the financial support on the projects 'A Typological Study of Grammatical Relations in Some Formosan Languages (I) & (II)' (NSC 83-0301-H003-017 & 85-2411-H003-003) between December 1993 and July 1996. In addition, due to the limited space, we only provide necessary examples to show our points in this paper. For details, please see the longer version.

2. The data on Atayal and Puyuma are from Huang's fieldnotes. The others are provided by Zeitoun (Tsou and Rukai), Yeh (Saisiyat), Chang (Paiwan) and Wu (Amis). Concerning the dialects of each language and the one(s) studied in this paper and their geographical distributions, see Huang et al. 1998.

3. The abbreviations and symbols used in this paper are as follows: 1S 1st Person Singular; 1PE 1st Person Plural Exclusive; 1PI 1st Person Plural Inclusive; Acc Accusative; Act Active voice; AF Agent focus; Asp Aspect; Ben Benefactive; BF Benefactive focus; Caus Causative; Fut Future tense; Gen Genitive; Hab Habitual; Imp Imperative; LF Locative focus; Lin Linker; Loc Locative; NAF Non-agent focus; Neg Negator; Neu Neutral; Nom Nominative; Nrf Non-referential; Nsp Nonspecific; Obl Oblique; Part Particle; PF Patient focus; Pl Plural; Poss Possessive; Prep Preposition; Prf Perfective aspect; Real Realis; Red Reduplication; Rf Referential; Sg Singular; Sp Specific; Stat Stative; = indicates the following pronoun is a clitic; < > indicates the enclosed elements are infixes and their glosses.

b. ti palaj timadjú
[Nom Palaj 3S.Nom]
'Is he Palaj?'

(2) Tsou
a. mi-ko-cu ŋoseo
[AF-2S.Nom-already tired]
'You are tired'

b. mi-ko-cu ŋoseo
[AF-2S.Nom-already tired]
'Are you tired?'

In Labuan Rukai, while both the declarative sentences and yes-no questions have the stressed penultimate syllable, the declarative sentences have the leveling-rising intonation pattern (e.g. [3a]) and the corresponding yes-no questions are formed with leveling-falling intonation pattern (e.g. [3b]):

(3) Rukai (Labuan)

a. masipəpələŋə-aku
[tired-already-1S.Nom]
'I am tired'

b. masipəpələŋə-su
[tired-already-2S.Nom]
'Are you tired?'

Yet in Maga Rukai, while declarative sentences have the leveling-rising-falling intonation and the pitch accent falls on the penultimate syllable, the corresponding yes-no questions are characterized by leveling intonation and the lengthening of the last syllable of the last words (the symbol [:] indicates the lengthening of the vowel):

(4) Rukai (Maga)

a. u-tubi musu:
[Act/Real-cry 2S.Nom]
'Have you cried?; Did you cry?'

b. u-cjili musu kdoma kanav-a:
[Act/Real-see 2S.Nom sometimes Kanao-Obl]
'Do you sometimes see Kanao?'

Languages like Puyuma and Amis utilize the phonological strategy, in addition to the lexical strategy, to form yes-no questions. We will examine the use of the phonological devices in these languages here and discuss the use of lexical devices in Section 2.2. First, note while the declarative sentences in Puyuma and Amis are formed with the pitch accent falling on the last syllable of the last word, their corresponding yes-no questions are manifested by the pitch accent appearing on the penultimate syllable of the last word, as illustrated by the sentences below:

(5) Puyuma

a. nu-pakan-ay la na wálak
[2S.Gen-feed-PF Part Nom child]
'Did you feed the child?'

b. ayiwa. ku-pakan-ay la na walák
[yes 1S.Gen-feed-PF Part Nom child]
'Yes, I fed the child'

(6) Amis

a. paka-araw kisu ci aki-an
[PAKA-see 2S.Nom Acc₁ Aki-Acc₂]
'Do you often see Aki?'

b. paka-araw kaku ci aki-án
[PAKA-see 1S.Nom Acc₁ Aki-Acc₂]
'I often see Aki'

2.2 Lexical/Morphological Strategy

As will be shown below, in forming yes-no questions, some Formosan languages use the lexical/morphological strategy: Mayrinax Atayal uses only a question particle and Mantauran Rukai a bound morpheme. Other languages like Puyuma and Amis use both phonological (discussed in Section 2.1) and lexical strategies. The following are some question particles used in the languages investigated: quw in Mayrinax Atayal, ay in Saisiyat, sau in Amis, and amaw in Puyuma. These question particles usually occur clause-finally,⁴ and may be accompanied by a specific intonation pattern. Below, we will discuss how these languages form their yes-no questions with particles in terms of the distribution of these question particles, while examining their intonation patterns.

First, let us examine yes-no questions in Mayrinax Atayal. As stated earlier, the only way that Mayrinax Atayal forms yes-no questions is through the presence of the particle quw which appears in sentence final position. As for the intonation pattern, the yes-no questions in Mayrinax Atayal maintains the leveling-falling intonation pattern as their corresponding declarative sentences:

- (7) Atayal
- a. al-un ni? yumin ku? rahuwal quw
 [take-PF Gen Yumin Nom.Rf big Q]
 'Did Yumin take the big one?'
- b. m-a?usa?si? m-anig quw
 [AF-go=2S.Nom AF-eat Q]
 'Are you going to eat?'

Question words in Puyuma and Amis also appear sentence finally. In these languages, however, the yes-no questions are accompanied by

4. However, in languages like Saisiyat (discussed below) and the Atayal variant spoken in Nantou (see Chiang 1996), question particles may appear clause medially or finally.

an intonation pattern which is different from that of their corresponding declarative sentences. Puyuma forms the yes-no questions with the leveling-rising intonation pattern and the pitch accent falling on the last syllable of the word which is the question particle amaw; the corresponding declarative sentences have the leveling-falling pattern. Consider:

- (8) Puyuma
- a. udjya na suan kanu amaw
 [exist Nom dog 2S.Obl Q]
 'Is the dog at your place?'
- b. a-uka-yu kadjyu amaw
 [Fut-go-2S.Nom there Q]
 'Will you go there?'

Amis, on the other hand, has its yes-no questions appearing in a leveling-rising-falling intonation pattern with the pitch accent on the penultimate syllable of the question particle sau, i.e. the a vowel:

- (9) Amis
- a. ci panay kisu sau
 [Neu Panay 2S.Nom Q]
 'Are you Panay?'
- b. mi-maan kisu sau
 [AF-what 2S.Nom Q]
 'What are you going to do?'

Recall the discussion of the yes-no questions in Amis formed without a question particle given in Section 2.1. In contrast with such yes-no questions, yes-no questions with the particle sau present a sense of 'greater suspicion' and often imply a tone of 'impoliteness'.

Unlike the languages discussed above, Saisiyat has the question particle appearing in either sentence final (e.g. [10a-b]) or non-final

position (e.g. [10c-e]):

(10) Saisiyat

a. koko? ray taw?an ay
[grandmother Loc house Q]
'Is Grandmother home?'

b. fofo ?am-kay fofo? ay
[2S.Nom Irr-Neg go Q]
'Won't you go?'

c. fofo mahoro| yakin ay nahan
[2S.Nom remember 1S.Acc Q still]
'Do you still remember me?'

d. fofo rəŋrəŋ ay komita? hi fofoay
[2S.Nom often Q see.AF Acc fofoay]
'Do you often see fofoay?'

e. fofo kahi|a? komita? ay hisia
[2S.Nom yesterday see.AF Q 3S.Acc]
'Did you see him yesterday?'

Among the Formosan languages, Mantauran and Tona Rukai are the only two in which yes-no questions are formed with bound morphemes attached to the verb. Consider the following sentences with the bound morpheme *ka* in Mantauran Rukai:

(11) Rukai (Mantauran)

a. maavaivai-ka-i

5. The morpheme *ka* in Mantauran Rukai can also be found in negative sentences, as exemplified below:

(1) ka ?oponoho-ka-fo
[?oponoho-Neg-2S.Gen]
'You are not ?oponoho'

Whether the two *ka*'s are of the same morpheme will not be further discussed here. For a detailed discussion, see Zeitoun 1995.

[Red-come-Q-3S.Gen]

'Does he often come?'

b. apəə-ŋa-ka-fo

[sleep-already-Q-2S.Gen]

'Have you slept?; Did you sleep?'

The following table sums up the above discussion on the formations of yes-no questions in the named Formosan languages:

Table 1: Formations of yes-no questions in some Formosan languages

| Languages | phonological devices | | | lexical or morphological devices | | | | accompanying intonation | shift of pitch accent |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| | intonation | shift of pitch accent | vowel lengthening | particle or bound morpheme | final | non-final | post-verbal | | |
| Paiwan | L-R | last syllable | - | --- | - | - | - | --- | --- |
| Tsou | L-R | --- | - | --- | - | - | - | --- | --- |
| Rukai | Ma | --- | + | --- | - | - | - | --- | --- |
| | Lb | --- | - | --- | - | - | - | --- | --- |
| | Mr | --- | - | -ka | - | - | + | --- | --- |
| Puyuma | Na | penultimate | - | amaw | + | - | - | L-R | last syllable |
| Atayal | Mx | --- | - | quw | + | - | - | L-F | --- |
| Saisiyat | --- | --- | - | ay | + | + | + | L-R; L-R-F-L | --- |
| Amis | L-R-F | penultimate | - | sau | + | - | - | L-R-F | penultimate |

Note: L: leveling; L-R: leveling-rising; L-R-F: leveling-rising-falling; L-F: leveling-falling
+: presence; -: absence; ---: not applicable

3. Alternative Questions

The second type of general questions are alternative questions. As will be shown below, variations are found across languages: in some languages, alternative questions are formed with an overt word which translates as 'or'; they may be either nominal/equational or verbal/non-equational; their intonation patterns may resemble or differ from their corresponding declarative counterparts; they may or may not have identical elements 'deleted'; such 'deletion' may be either forward or backward.

Below let us first consider the formation of alternative questions in Mayrinax Atayal. In this language, alternative questions are formed with the question particle *quw* and the Topic marker *ya?*. The accompanying intonation pattern can be divided into two units: the first

unit is leveling-rising with the pitch accent falling on the vowel of the Topic marker *yá?*, and the second unit returns to the leveling-falling pattern which resembles the intonation pattern of the declarative sentences. Some examples follow:

(12) Atayal

a. s<um>iuwaal=si? cu? qa-qaniq cu? qulih
[like<AF>like=2S.Nom Lin Red-eat Acc.Nrf fish

quw *yá?* s<um>iuwaal=si? cu? qa-qaniq
Q Top [like<AF>like=2S.Nom Lin Red-eat

cu? siyam
Acc.Nrf meat]

'Do you like to eat fish or (do you like to eat) meat?'

b. s<um>iuwaal=si? cu? pila? quw *yá?*
[like<AF>like=2S.Nom Acc.Nrf money Q Top

s<um>iuwaal=si? cu? kinkyanux
like<AF>like=2S.Nom [Acc.Nrf life]
'Do you want money or (do you want) life?'

Note that in Mayrinax Atayal (as in other Formosan languages), alternative questions may not be necessarily composed of complete clauses; omission of identical parts is permissible. Compare (12) and (13):

(13) Atayal

a. s<um>iuwaal=si? cu? qa-qaniq cu? qulih
[like<AF>like=2S.Nom Lin Red-eat Acc.Nrf fish

quw *yá?* siyam
Q Top meat]

'Do you like to eat fish or meat?'

b. s<um>iuwaal=si? cu? pila? quw *yá?*
[like<AF>like=2S.Nom Acc.Nrf money Q Top

kinkyanux

life]

'Do you want money or life?'

More examples without repeating identical elements are given below:

(14) Atayal

a. ?i? tali? ku? m-a?usa? i? taypak quw *yá?*
[Neu Tali? Nom.Rf AF-go Prep Taipei Q Top

(?i?) yumin

Neu Yumin]

'Will Tali? or Yumin go to Taipei?' Lit. 'Is the one that will go to Taipei Tali? or Yumin?'

b. ?i? tali? quw *yá?* yumin ku? t<um>uting
[Neu Tali? Q Top Yumin Nom.Rf beat<AF>beat

?i? limuy

Acc Limuy]

'Did Tali? or Yumin beat Limuy?' Lit. 'Was it Tali? or Yumin that beat Limuy?'

c. ?i? tali? ku? tuting-un=su? quw *yá?*
[Neu Tali? Nom beat-PF=2S.Gen Q Top

(?i?) yumin

Neu Yumin]

'Did you beat Tali? or Yumin?' Lit. 'Was the one you beat Tali? or Yumin?'

d. paka-kia?=si? imuwaay quw *yá?* i? claq
[like-exist=2S.Nom house Q Top Prep field]
'Will you be home or in the field?'

Notice that while sentences (12a-b) have the two alternative elements expressed by full clauses and are verbal sentences, the structures of (13-14) are not exactly identical:

- (i) Alternative questions without repeating identical portions can be either verbal sentences (e.g. [13a-b] and [14d]), or nominal/equational sentences (e.g. [14a-c]).
- (ii) If the noun manifesting the second alternative is a personal proper noun, it may, but not necessarily, be preceded by a case marker, as shown in (14a-c); if the noun in question is a common noun intrinsically expressing a location, it is then marked with the preposition *i*?, as in (14d); if the noun in question is a common noun which does not designate a location, it cannot be preceded by any marker, as given in (13a-b).
- (iii) While the second alternative may appear sentence finally, following a full clause manifesting the first alternative (e.g. [13a-b], [14a] and [14c-d]), the two alternative elements can be juxtaposed and appear sentence initially (e.g. [14b]). In other words, the 'deletion' of identical elements can be either forward or backward.

Next, consider alternative questions in Amis. The intonation pattern of Amis alternative questions resembles that of the yes-no questions; i.e. a leveling-rising-falling intonation pattern with the pitch accent on the last two content words, regardless they are of the same part of speech. Such a phenomenon seems rather unique to Amis:

(15) Amis

a. cima ku ta-tayra na' i taypak
[who Nom Red-go 2' en Prep Taipei]

ci tūŋi ci áki
Nom tūŋi Nom Aki]

'Is tūŋi or Aki going to Taipei?'

Lit. 'Which one of you are going to Taipei? tūŋi? or Aki?'

b. u fəlac ku ka-ulah-an ísu u páysu
[Neu rice Nom KA-like-AN 2S.Gen Neu money]
'Do you want rice or money?'

c. anuɬafak i luma? kisu i pitilɬan
[tomorrow Prep house 2S.Nom Prep school]
'Will you be at home or in school tomorrow?'

c'. *anuɬafak i luma? kisu i pitilɬan
[tomorrow Prep house 2S.Nom Prep school]

d. ma-tayal kisu ma-fúti?
[AF-work 2S.Nom AF-sleep]
'Are you going to work or sleep?'

d'. *ma-táyal kisu ma-fúti?
[AF-work 2S.Nom AF-sleep]

Besides the intonation pattern, note that like Mayrinax Atayal, Amis has its alternative questions either nominal or verbal constructions. For instance, (15a-c) are nominal sentences and (15d) is a verbal one.

Next, examine the following alternative questions in Labuan Rukai:

(16) Rukai (Labuan)

a. ay-uŋulu-su ku acilay ay-kanə-su ku aga
[Irr-drink-2S.Nom Obl water Irr-eat-2S.Nom Obl rice]
'Will you drink water or eat rice?'

b. ay-cəələ-su ki sunatə ay-apəcə-su
[Irr-see-2S.Nom Obl book Irr-sleep-2S.Nom]
'Will you read a book or sleep?'

Note that the alternative questions in Labuan Rukai as given above are composed of two complete clauses. Also note that the intonation

pattern of such questions can be divided into two units and that each is characterized as leveling-rising.

Notice that in either Mayrinax Atayal, Amis or Labuan Rukai, alternative questions do not contain a word meaning 'or'. On the other hand, in Paiwan the word *manu* 'or' is present and connects the two alternatives. The two elements under question carry leveling-rising intonation, as illustrated below:

- (17) Paiwan
- a. *uri sema taihuku-sun manu uri sema*
 [will go Taipei-2S.Gen or will go
takaw-sun
 Kaohsiung-2S.Gen]
 'Will you go to Taipei or will you go to Kaohsiung?'

Note that here the two alternatives are complete clauses. However, when neither of the two alternatives appears sentence finally, the last element of the sentence also carries leveling-rising intonation (i.e. the sentence then has three leveling-rising intonation units), as indicated in the following example:

- (17) Paiwan
- b. *manu ci?aw manu vutul a uri kan-in nimadju*
 [or fish or meat Nom will eat-PF 3S.Gen]
 'Will he eat fish or meat?'

Saisiyat is another language whose alternative questions also have a word glossed as 'or'. Consider:

- (18) Saisiyat
- a. *nifo ?am nyaw a ?am ?ahœ?*
 [2S.Gen want cat or want dog]
 'Do you want a cat or do you want a dog?'

- b. *fo?o ?am s<om>i?æl ila ay ka pazay a ?am*
 [2S.Nom want eat<AF>eat Asp Q Acc rice or want
r<om>a?æ: ka inoβæ:æh
 drink<AF>drink Acc wine]
 'Do you want to eat rice or drink wine?'

Similarly, Tsou is another language in which the alternative questions can be made up of two complete clauses. However, the absence of identical parts including the second bound pronoun is possible. Also, the structure under study has a morpheme *ho* attached to the irrealis auxiliary verb *-nte* introducing the second alternative. Consider:

- (19) Tsou
- a. *da-ko kaebi boni to yoski ho-nte(-ko)*
 [Hab-2S.Nom like.AF eat.AF Obl fish if-AF/Irr(-2S.Nom)
no o?-te (boni ta) fou
 Obl Neg-AF/Irr eat.AF Obl meat]
 'Do you want to eat fish or (do you want to eat) meat?'
- b. *zou yaui ho-nte o?-te mo?o na mo*
 [be Yaui if-AF/Irr Neg-AF/Irr Mo?o Nom AF/Real
eobako ta pasuya
 beat.AF Obl Pasuya]
 'Is it Yaui or Mo?o that beat Pasuya?'

In the above examples, we may note that the alternative questions in Tsou may have two intonation patterns and each is composed of two units; each unit can be either leveling-rising or leveling-falling. Moreover, comparing (19a) with (19b), we note that alternative

6. The morpheme *ho* is sometimes translated as 'if', 'when' or 'or'; its actual meaning deserves further analysis.

questions in the language can be either verbal (e.g. [19a]) or nominal (e.g. [19b]), and the 'deletion' can be either forward (e.g. [19a]) or backward (e.g. [19b]), as in Mayrinax Atayal. The following table sums up the above discussion:

Table 2 : Alternative questions in some Formosan languages

| Languages | word glossed as 'or' | sentence type | | deletion of identical elements | | intonation pattern | shift of pitch accent |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------|--------|--------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | nominal | verbal | backward | forward | | |
| Atayal Mx | - | + | + | + | + | L-R-L-F | --- |
| Amis | - | + | + | --- | + | L-R-F-L-R-F | + |
| Rukai Lb | - | - | + | - | - | L-R-L-R | --- |
| Paiwan | manu | + | + | --- | + | L-R-L-R | --- |
| Saisiyat | a | --- | + | --- | + | L-L-R-F-L-L-R-F-L-R-F-L | --- |
| Tsou | ho-nie | + | + | + | + | L-R-L-R or L-F-L-F | --- |

4. Special Questions

Like other non-Austronesian languages, special questions (or so-called *wh*-, content or information questions) in Formosan languages utilize certain question words, as shown in the following table:

Table 3 : Question words in some Formosan languages

| Languages | who | what | which | how many/much | where | when | why | how |
|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|----------|--|------------------|--|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Atayal Mx | ima? | nanuwa anu? | ainu? | piya? papiya? | inu? | ʔiʔ kanuwan (Fut) cuʔ kanuwan (Past) ʔiʔ humicuwaʔ (Fut) cuʔ humicuwaʔ (Past) | micuwaʔ | humicuwaʔ binicuwaʔ paphicuwaʔ |
| Tsou | sia | cuma | nenu | plo | neou | ho-honna (Fut) ne-honna (Past) | mainci | mainenu |
| Rukai Lb | anaa | manoma | sainuino | raapia [-human] pia [-human] pakapia | lov | na-iga (Fut) ke-iga (Past) | a- | |
| Puyuma Na | manay | manay | isuwa | miasana munuma | isuwa | asuwa | qaw aw | kata |
| Saisiyat | hiʔeʔ | kanoʔ | haʔinoʔ | piʔeʔ xozaʔ | ʔinoʔ haʔinoʔ | ʔinoʔan (Fut) ka-ʔinoʔan (Past) | ʔempoʔaʔ | nak ʔinoʔ |
| Paiwan | ima nima ʔajima | osma | inu | pida mapida | inu | na-ʔida (Fut) ka-ʔida (Past) | komudaku aku | komuda kinuda karudakuda |
| Amis | cima nima cimanan | maan | | pina papina | cuwa | anu hakuwa (Fut) na ʔ hakuwa (Past) | anu naw | masanon masaman pakaranaan |

As we will see in the following sections, the question words presented in the above table can be discussed in two ways, namely, their part of speech and their functions:

(i) Part of speech: These question words belong to two different groups with respect to the part of speech. That is, they can either be nouns or verbs. Those that can be preceded by or contain case markers or the like are nouns, and those that can be affixed with focus and/or tense/aspect markers are verbs.

(ii) Function: These question words form three groups functionally; that is, they may serve as nominals, verbals or adverbials. To be exact, question words that manifest participants serve as nominals, those that represent events function as verbals, and those that express temporal, place, reason or other adjunct relations are adverbials. Consequently, these question words may also function in different ways syntactically.

Below we will begin to examine the above-mentioned question words.

4.1 Nominal Interrogatives

As we will see in the following Formosan languages, question words which serve to manifest participants, either persons (i.e. 'who' and the like), objects (i.e. 'what' and the like), choices (i.e. 'which' and the like) or quantity (i.e. 'how many' and the like), function like ordinary nouns. Normally such question words in the investigated Formosan languages (except in Saisiyat) may appear sentence initially and serve as predicates. However, they may often appear in sentence medial position and be preceded by nominal case markers,⁷ which helps justify that they are nouns.

Below let us examine some sentences from Mayrinax Atayal, Tsou, Labuan Rukai, Nanwang Puyuma and Saisiyat with question words manifesting participants and serving as predicates:

7. As stated earlier, Saisiyat is the only Formosan language investigated that is not a verb initial language. According to Yeh (1991), verbs usually follow the subject in Saisiyat sentences.

8. As for the case markers in the named Formosan languages, please refer to Huang et al. 1998.

(20) Atayal

a. ima? ku? cuquliq ka? haca
[who Nom.Rf person Lin that]
'Who is that person?'

b. ima? ruwas ku? hani
[who book Nom.Rf this]
'Whose book is this?'

(21) Tsou

a. sia na mo smoyo-su
[who Nom AF/Real afraid-2S]
'Who is afraid of you?'

b. sia na i-ko smoyo-a
[who Nom NAF-2S.Gen afraid-PF]
'Whom are you afraid of?'

(22) Rukai (Labuan)

a. anəa ku mw-a-ku[ud]u musua
[who Nom Stat-Real-fear 2S.Obl]
'Who is afraid of you?'

(23) Puyuma

a. i manay na aru a-əkan kana [a]tu
[Neu who Nom will Red-eat that mango]
'Who will eat that mango?'

b. kan manay tili idini
[Obl who book this]
'Whose book is this?'

(24) Saisiyat

a. hiza? hi|æ?
[that who]
'Who is that?'

b. ʔoβay (hi) hi|æ?
[ʔoβay Nom who]
'Who is ʔoβay?'

Note that the above sentences with question words appearing in sentence initial (or non-initial as in Saisiyat) position serving as

9. As pointed out earlier, verbs usually follow the subject in Saisiyat sentences. Hence the question word hi|æ? 'who' here serves as predicate of the sentence.

predicates are all equational/nominal constructions. Also note that in Puyuma sentences (23a-b), the question word manay 'who' in sentence initial position is preceded by case markers, which is not found in the other languages/dialects under investigation.

The above-mentioned question words may also appear in verbal constructions in which the named question words occur in sentence non-initial position:

(20) Atayal

c. pa-kaβaux=su? ki? ima? cu? pila?
[Fut.AF-borrow=2S.Nom Loc who Acc.Nrf money]
'From whom will you borrow money?'

d. b<in>asun ki? ima? ni? yumin ku? ruwas
[sell<Prf>PF=sell Loc who Gen yumin Nom.Rf book]
'Whom did Yumin sell the book to?'

(21) Tsou

c. zou tposi no sia eni
[be book Obl who this]
'Whose book is this?'

d. zou oko no sia ʔo i-ko imnia
[be child Obl who Nom Real-2S like]
'Whose child do you like?'

(22) Rukai (Labuan)

b. ma-da-dalamə-su ki anəa ki zipulu si
[Stat-Red-like-2S.Nom Obl who Obl Zipulu and]
Takanaw
'Whom do you prefer, Zipulu or Takanaw?'

(23) Puyuma

c. idini na ruma i nu-na-niwanan kan manay
[this Nom house Prep 2S.Gen-Red-sell Obl who]
'Whom are you going to sell this house to?'

(24) Saisiyat

c. ø hi|æ? ray halapaw
[Nom who Loc bed]
'Who is on the bed?'

- d. *sia* *ʃ<om>eβet* *hi* *hi|æ?*
[3S.Nom beat<AF>beat Acc who]
'Who did he beat?'
- e. *rayhil* *ni* *hi|æ?* *karma?-en*
[money Gen who take-PF]
'By whom was the money stolen?'
- f. *βaki?* *βa|iw* *ka* *ka?iβa|en* *ʔini* *hi|æ?*
[grandpa buy Acc clothes Ben who]
'For whom did grandpa buy the clothes?'
- g. *halapaw* *ʔan-hi|æ?-a*
[bed Poss-who-Poss]
'Whose bed is this?'
- h. *rayhil* *ʔinʔaray* *kan* *hi|æ?*
[money from Loc who]
'From whom was the money (borrowed)?'

Note that in the above sentences, the question words are all preceded by case markers. Consequently, it is legitimate to treat them as nouns, and since they manifest participants, they are nominal interrogatives.

There are some other Formosan languages in which question words manifesting participants are already marked with case markers, as shown in the following Paiwan and Amis sentences (for details, see Huang et al. 1998):

(25) Paiwan

- a. *tima* *su-k<in>isədam-an* *tua* *paysu*
[who.Nom 2S.Gen-borrow<Prf>borrow-LF Acc money]
'Whom did you borrow money from?'
- b. *nima* *alak* *a* *su-k<in>a-tjəŋəray-an*
[who.Gen child Nom 2S.Gen-<Prf>-like-LF]
'Whose child do you like?'
- c. *marəkut-su* *tjaima*
[afraid-2S.Nom who.Acc]
'Whom are you afraid of?'

(26) Amis

- a. *cima* *ku* *ma-ulah-ay* *ci* *panay-an*
[who.Nom Nom AF-like-AY Acc₁ Panay-Acc₂]
'Who likes Panay?'
- b. *nima* *wawa* *ku* *ka-ulah-an* *isu*
[who.Gen child Nom PF₁-like-PF₂ 2S.Gen]
'Whose child do you like?'
- c. *cimanan* *kisu* *pa-fli?* *tuni* *cutat*
[who.Acc 2S.Nom Cau-give this book]
'To whom will you give this book?'

The following table sums up the above discussion on the question words meaning 'who' in the named Formosan languages:

Table 4 : Question words meaning 'who' in some Formosan languages

| Languages | question words meaning 'who' | syntactic distribution | | | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| | | sentence | initial | position | Sentence | non-initial | position |
| | | serving as predicate | case marking | sentence type | serving as predicate | case marking | sentence type |
| Atayal | <i>ima?</i> | - | + | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Tsao | <i>sia</i> | - | + | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Rukai | <i>ana</i> | - | + | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Puyuma | <i>macay</i> | - | + | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Saisiyat | <i>hi x?</i> | - | + | nominal (24c) | + | (+) | nominal (24a-b) verbal (24d-b) |
| Paiwan | <i>tima</i> | + | + | nominal | - | - | - |
| | <i>nima</i> | - | - | - | - | + | verbal |
| | <i>tjaima</i> | - | - | - | - | + | verbal |
| Amis | <i>cima</i> | + | + | nominal | - | - | - |
| | <i>aima</i> | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | <i>cimanan</i> | - | + | verbal | - | - | - |

Next, let us examine the question words glossed as 'what', which manifest non-human participants. Like the question words glossed as 'who(whom/whose)', the question words meaning 'what' in the Formosan languages under investigation can also legitimately be classified as nouns, based on the criteria mentioned above. Consider:

(27) Atayal

- a. *nanuwan* *ku?* *β<in>ainay* *ni?* *yaya?* *ʔi?* *isu?*
[what Nom.Rf buy<Prf.PF>buy Gen mother Acc 2S.Neu]
'What did your mother buy for you?'

b.si-βainay cu? nanuwan nku? kanairil ku? ?ulaqi?
[BF-buy Acc what Gen.Rf woman Nom.Rf child]
'What did the woman buy for the child?'

c.si-βainay cu? nanu? nku? kanairil ku? ?ulaqi?
[BF-buy Acc what Gen.Rf woman Nom.Rf child]
'What did the woman buy for the child?'

(28) Tsou

a.(zou) cuma na eni
[be what Nom this]
'What is this?'

b.mi-ko eon no cuma ho mi-ko uh ne
[AF-2S.Nom be Obl what AF-2S.Nom go Obl
taipahu
Taipei]
'How did you go to Taipei?'; Lit. 'What (transportation/
vehicle) were you on to go to Taipei?'

(29) Rukai (Labuan)

a.manəma ku kadaləmə-su
[what Nom like-2S.Gen]
'What do you like?'

b.ma-dalamə-su ku manəma
[AF-like-2S.Nom Obl what]
'What do you like?'

(30) Puyuma

a.a manay idiyu
[Nom what that]
'What is that?'

b.m-əkan qa manay i sigimulj
[AF-eat Obl what Nom Sigimulj]
'What did Sigimulj eat?'

(31) Saisiyat

a.hini? (ka) kano?
[this Nom what]
'What is this?'

b.βaki? s<om>i?æl ka kano?
[grandpa eat<AF>eat Acc what]
'What did grandpa eat?'

c.ka kano? toŋsi? miŋ-lakay
[Nom what thing -break]
'What was broken?'

d.βaki? noka? kano? f<om>eβet ka korkoriŋ
[grandpa Gen what beat<AF>beat Acc child]
'With what did Grandpa beat the child?'

(32) Paiwan

a.a nəma ayau
[Nom what this]
'What is this?'

b.pa-cu-cun-an-sun t(u)a nəma
[Cau-Red-see-LF-2S.Nom Acc what]
'What are you looking at?'

(33) Amis

a.u maan ku mi-nəŋnəŋ-an isu
[Neu what Nom PF₁-see-PF₂ 2S.Gen]
'What are you looking at?'

Table 5 sums up the above discussion on the interrogative words glossed as 'what':

Table 5 : Question words meaning 'what' in some Formosan languages

| Languages | question words meaning 'what' | syntactic distribution | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| | | sentence serving as predicate | initial case marking | position sentence type | sentence serving as predicate | non-initial case marking | position sentence type |
| Atayal | Mx <u>nanuwan</u> | + | - | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| | <u>naou?</u> | --- | --- | --- | --- | + | verbal |
| Tsou | <u>cuma</u> | + | - | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Rukai | Lb <u>manəma</u> | + | - | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Puyuma | Nb <u>manay</u> | + | + | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Saisiyat | <u>kano?</u> | - | + | verbal | + | (+) | nominal verbal |
| Paiwan | <u>nəma</u> | + | + | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Amis | <u>maan</u> | + | + | nominal | --- | --- | --- |

The third nominal question word to be investigated is the one glossed as 'which'. This interrogative resembles the above two nominal interrogatives syntactically, as the following examples illustrate:

(34) Atayal

- a. ainu? ku? xuil=su?
[which Nom.Rf dog=2S.Gen]
'Which dog is yours?'
- b. pa-ʔayal cku? ainu? ʔi? yumin
[Fut.AF-take Acc.Rf which Nom Yumin]
'Which one is Yumin going to take?'
- c. pa-ʔayal=si? cku? humicuwa?
[Fut.AF-take=2S.Nom Acc.Rf which]
'Which one do you want?'
- d. pa-ʔayal cku? humicuwa? ka? situŋ ʔi? limuy
[Fut.AF-take Acc.Rf which Lin clothes Nom Limuy]
'What kind of clothes does Yumin want?'

(35) Tsou

- a. nenu na i-ko peini ci emoo
[which/where Nom Real-2S.Gen buy Rel house]
'Which house did you buy?'
- b. nenu na te-ko phiini ci tposi
[which/where Nom Irr-2S.Gen buy Rel book]
'Which book will you buy?'

(36) Rukai (Labuan)

- a. sainuinu ku daanə-su
[which Nom house-2S.Gen]
'Which one is your house?'
- b. madalamə-su ki sainuinu
[like-2S.Nom Obl which]
'Which one do you like?'

(37) Saisiyat

- a. (ka) haʔino? ʔanʃoaa
[Nom which/where 2S.Poss]
'Which is yours?'
- b. ʃoʔo ʔam ʃa|iw ka haʔino?
[2S.Nom will buy Acc which/where]
'Which one will you buy?'
- c. niʃo haʔino? ka-ʃa|iw-un
[2S.Nom which/where NOM-buy-PF]
'Which one will you buy?'

- d. niʃo tawʔan haʔino?
[2S.Gen house which/where]
'Which is your house?'

(38) Puyuma

- a. na isuwa nanu
[Nom which 2S.Gen]
'Which is yours?'
- b. nu-a-a[ak-i na isuwa
[2S.Gen-Red-want-PF Nom which]
'Which one is the one that you want?'
- c. amawu na isuwa nanu
[be Nom which 2S.Gen]
'Which one is yours?'
- d. sagar-yu kana isuwa
[like-2S.Nom Obl which]
'Which one do you like?'

(39) Paiwan

- a. a inu a mana nisun
[Nom which/where Nom is 2S.Gen]
'Which is yours?'
- b. a inu a mana su-k<in>atjəŋə[ay-an
[Nom which/where Nom is 2S.Gen-like<Prf>like-LF]
'Which do you like?'

(40) Amis

- a. i cuwa-ay ku ka-ulah-an isu
[Prep which/where Nom PF₁-like-PF₂ 2S.Gen]
'Which one do you like better?'
- b. i cuwa-ay ku ka-tayra-an isu
[Prep which/where Nom KA-go-AN 2S.Gen]
'Which place are you going to?'

In the above examples, it is interesting to note that in languages/dialects like Tsou, Labuan Rukai,¹⁰ Saisiyat, Paiwan and Amis, the interrogative 'which' also means 'where'. The semantics of

10. We are not sure if sainuinu 'which' in Labuan Rukai has any relation with the interrogative word inu 'where' though they seem to share the portion inu. Further study is needed.

the two interrogatives deserves further study.

The following table presents the question words glossed as 'which' in the above-mentioned Formosan languages/dialects:

Table 6: Question words meaning 'which' in some Formosan languages

| Languages | question words meaning 'which' | syntactic distribution | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|
| | | Sentence serving as predicate | initial case marking | position sentence type | non-initial sentence serving as predicate | case marking | position sentence type |
| Atayal | Mx <i>ainu?</i> | + | - | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| | <i>humicuwa?</i> | - | - | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Tsou | <i>inenu</i> | + | - | nominal | - | - | - |
| Rukai | Lb <i>sainuina</i> | + | - | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Puyuma | Na <i>isuwa</i> | + | + | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Saisiyat | <i>ha?no?</i> | - | - | nominal | + | (+) | nominal |
| Paiwan | <i>inu</i> | + | + | nominal | - | - | - |
| Amis | <i>cuwa</i> | + | + | nominal | - | - | - |

The last nominal question word discussed here is the one meaning 'how many'. Consider the following Paiwan examples first:

(41) Paiwan

- a. *kəl-an tua pida aya-sun*
[take-LF Acc how:many say-2S.Nom]
'How many did you say you want to take?'

- b. *pida-anan a inika su-s<in>i-pavai*
[how:many-still Nom Neg 2S.Gen-IF<Prf>IF-give]
'How many more haven't you given (to somebody) yet?'

Like the above three nominal interrogatives, the question word *pida* 'how many' in Paiwan may appear sentence initially or medially. When appearing in sentence initial position (e.g. [41b]), it serves as predicate and is not preceded by any case marker; such a construction is a nominal sentence. When in sentence medial position (e.g. [41a]), it is preceded by a case marker and the sentences are verbal. Apparently, *pida* 'how many' in Paiwan can be treated as a noun, like the above-mentioned three sets of nominal interrogatives. However, in the other Formosan languages studied here, the question words meaning 'how many' do not seem to share such properties. For instance, none of the

'how many' question words in the following examples are preceded by case markers, whether they appear in sentence initial or medial position:

(42) Puyuma (human vs. non-human)

- a. *miasama nu-walak*
[how:many 2S.Gen-child]
'How many children do you have?'
- b. *ulaya munuma nu-paysu*
[exist how:much 2S.Gen-money]
'How much money do you have?'

(43) Atayal (human vs. non-human)

- a. *piya? ku? pila?=su?*
[how:much Nom.Rf money=2S.Gen]
'How much money do you have?'
- b. *pa-piya? ku? ?ulaqi?=su?*
[Red-how:many Nom.Rf child=2S.Gen]
'How many children do you have?'

(44) Amis (human vs. non-human)

- a. *pina ku aca nuni futin*
[how:much Nom price this.Gen fish]
'How much is this fish?'
- b. *(pa)-pina ku wawa ni panay*
[Red-how:many Nom child Gen Panay]
'How many children does Panay have?'

(45) Rukai (Labuan) (human vs. non-human vs. direction)

- a. *pia ku paisu-su*
[how:much Nom money-2S.Gen]
'How much money do you have?'
- b. *taapia ku lalakə-su*
[how:much Nom child-2S.Gen]
'How many children do you have?'
- c. *pakapia-su bwaay inia ku paisu* (away from the hearer)
[how:much-2S.Nom give 3S.Obl money]
'How much money did you give him?'

- d. anupia-su ma|a ku paisu (close to the hearer)
 [how:much-2S.Nom take money]
 'How much money did you take?'

Though the above interrogatives are not preceded by any case marker or the like, they all share a common characteristic. That is, depending on whether the cooccurring nouns are human or non-human (and sometimes whether they are countable or uncountable non-human nouns, or whether they refer to objects moving close to or away from the hearer), different interrogative words (as in Nanwang Puyuma) or different forms of the same interrogative (as in Mayrinax Atayal, Amis and Labuan Rukai) are used.¹¹ Moreover, in Labuan Rukai, which form of the same interrogative words is used may depend on whether the argument in question is away from or close to the hearer. Such a distinction is found in no other Formosan languages under investigation.

Like Puyuma, Saisiyat has two different interrogative words meaning 'how many' but unlike all the above-mentioned languages, the use of either interrogative word in Saisiyat depends on the countability/plurality of the cooccurring nouns, as shown below:

(46) Saisiyat (countable vs. uncountable)

- a. ma?i|æh piza?
 [man how:many]
 'How many people are there?'
 b. noka? korkorij piza? tatpo?
 [Gen child how:many hat]
 'How many hats does the child have?'

11. The interrogative word glossed as 'how many' in Paiwan also has a human vs. non-human distinction. That is, while pida is used for non-human participant(s), as shown in (41a-b), mapida must be chosen when the participant(s) in question is human, as illustrated below:

(i) mapida a caucaw itjuma? katiaw
 [how:many Lin person in:house yesterday]
 'How many people were there in the house yesterday?'

- c. ray tontonay pinobæ|æh koza?
 [Loc bottle wine how:much]
 'How much wine is there in the bottle?'
 d. kahoe koza? kin ?ibabao?
 [tree how:much Asp tall]
 'How tall is the tree?'

Similarly, the word pio 'how many' in Tsou does not show a human vs. non-human distinction either. Also note that it follows the modal (e.g. mo in [47a-b]) and should be treated as a verb:

(47) Tsou

- a. mo pio ?o ?o-?oko-su
 [AF/Real how:many Nom Red-child-2S.Gen]
 'How many children do you have?'
 b. mo pio ?o peisu-su
 [AF/Real how:much Nom money-2S.Gen]
 'How much money do you have?'

Though right now we have no strong evidence to support that the interrogative words meaning 'how many' in the named Formosan languages/dialects except in Tsou belong to the noun class, yet based on the Paiwan case, we tentatively consider that they are nouns and resemble the above-mentioned three sets of interrogative words functionally. The following table concludes the present discussion:

Table 7 : Question words meaning 'how many' in some Formosan languages

| Languages | question words meaning 'how many' | syntactic distribution | | | | | |
|-----------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| | | sentence serving as predicate | initial case marking | position sentence type | sentence serving as predicate | non-initial case marking | position sentence type |
| Atayal Mx | piya? (non-human) papiya? (human) | + | - | nominal | --- | --- | --- |
| Isou | pio | --- | --- | --- | + | - | verbal |
| Rukai Lb | pia (non-human) taspia (human) paksipia (human) anyupia (human) | + | - | nominal | --- | --- | --- |
| Puyuma Na | munuwa (non-human) miasama (human) | + | - | nominal | - | - | verbal |
| Saisiyat | piza? (countable) koza? (uncountable) | --- | --- | --- | +/- | - | nominal |
| Paiwan | pida (non-human) mapida (human) | + | - | nominal | - | + | verbal |
| Amis | pina (non-human) papina (human) | + | - | nominal | --- | --- | --- |

4.2 Adverbial Interrogatives

As we will discuss below, adverbial interrogatives are the question words that functionally manifest spatial, temporal, frequency or reason relationship. Since these question words are also often preceded by some case markers, prepositions or particles, they can be grouped into the noun class.

4.2.1 Spatial Interrogatives

Below let us first give Mayrinax examples with spatial question word *inu?* 'where' which is used when the speaker wants to find out the location of something or some action, or the goal or source of an action involving movement:

(48) Atayal

- a. *kia? i? inu? ku? naniqan*
[exist Prep where Nom.Rf restaurant]
'Where is the restaurant?'

- b. *m<in>aniq i? inu? ku? naβakis*
[AF<Prf>eat Prep where Nom.Rf old:man]
'Where did the old man eat?'

In the above sentences, notice that the locative preposition *i?* precedes the interrogative *inu?* 'where'. The two form a locative phrase and designate the place where the object is or where the event took/will take place. Examples containing a spatial interrogative word and a locative marker from some other Formosan languages are given below:

(49) Saisiyat

- a. *korkorin haw ?ino?*
[child where]
'Where is the child?'

- b. *fo?o haw ?ino? matawaw*
[2S.Nom where work]
'Where do you work?'

(50) Paiwan

- a. *iza inu-sun*
[exist where-2S.Nom]
'Where are you?'

- b. *na-v<ən>ə[i-sun iza inu tua sunat]*
[Prf-buy<AF>buy-2S.Nom exist where Acc book]
'Where did you buy books?'

(51) Puyuma

- a. *a-uka-yu i suwa*
[Red-go-2S.Nom Prep where]
'Where will you go?'

- b. *ulaya-yu i suwa*
[exist-2S.Nom Prep where]
'Where are you?'

(52) Amis

- a. *i cuwa kisu ma-futi?*
[Prep where 2S.Nom AF-sleep]
'Where will you sleep?'

- b. *i cuwa kisu mi-aca tu cutaʔ*
 [Prep where 2S.Nom AF-buy Acc book]
 'Where will you buy the book?'

In all the above examples, the interrogative words are reasonably categorized as nouns since like ordinary nouns, they can be preceded by some sort-of locative markers. However, among the investigated Formosan languages, Tsou and Labuan Rukai have the spatial interrogatives not preceded by any locative markers. For instance:

(53) Tsou

- a. *mi-ko oeniti nenu*
 [AF-2S.Nom sleep where]
 'Where did you sleep?'
- b. *mi-ko eon nenu ho mhia tposi*
 [AF-2S.Nom be where and buy book]
 'Where did you buy the book?'

(54) Rukai (Labuan)

- a. *yakai-su inu wapoca*
 [exist-2S.Gen where sleep]
 'Where did you sleep?'
- b. *yakai-su inu waga*
 [exist-2S.Gen where cook]
 'Where do/did you cook?'

In this case, we have no supporting evidence to justify if *nenu* in Tsou and *inu* in Labuan Rukai are nouns.¹² Yet the two and *inuʔ* in Mayrinax Atayal, *ʔinoʔ* in Saisiyat and *inu* in Paiwan seem to be cognates. We then tentatively analyze all of them as nouns here.

12. Though our Tsou data do not have *nenu* preceded by a case marker, Szakos (1994:185) presents examples with *nenu* following the Oblique case marker *no*, which indicates that *nenu* is a noun. Also, Zeitoun (p.c.) considers that *nenu* may be derived from the Oblique case marker *ne* and *inu*, which also helps support that *nenu* is a noun. As for *inu* in Labuan Rukai, though no case marker can precede it, the same phonem is found with other locative nouns. Thus, it may also be legitimate to treat *inu* as a noun.

4.2.2 Temporal Interrogatives

Next, let us examine the temporal interrogative words. As will be noticed below, all the languages/dialects under investigation here, except for Puyuma, utilize some particles or affixes to show the temporal past-future distinction. Consider:

(55) Atayal

- a. *m<in>ɣainay cuʔ humicuwaʔ cuʔ situin ʔiʔ*
 [AF<Prf>buy Part when Acc.Nrf clothes Nom]
ɣaʔay
ɣaʔay]
 'When did *ɣaʔay* buy clothes?'
- b. *pa-ɣainay ʔiʔ humicuwaʔ cuʔ situin ʔiʔ*
 [Fut.AF-buy Part when Acc.Nrf clothes Nom]
ɣaʔay
ɣaʔay]
 'When will *ɣaʔay* buy clothes?'

(56) Tsou

- a. *mi-ta m-onjoi ne-homna*
 [AF-2S AF-leave Past-when]
 'When did you leave?'
- b. *te-ko m-onjoi ho-homna*
 [AF/Irr-2S.Nom AF-leave Fut-when]
 'When will you leave?'

(57) Rukai (Labuan)

- a. *wacəʔə-su ku-iga idaa*
 [see-2S.Nom Past-when that]
 'When did you see him?'
- b. *ay-cəʔə-su nu-iga idaa*
 [Irr-see-2S.Nom Fut-when that]
 'When will you see him?'

(58) Saisiyat

- a. *sia ka-ʔinoʔan m-waʔiʔ*
 [3S.Nom Past-when AF-come]
 'When did he come?'

- b. *sia* *ə-?ino?an* *?am* *m-wa|j?*
 [3S.Nom Fut-when Irr AF-come]
 'When will he come?'

(59) Paiwan

- a. *na-vayk ka-ŋida* *timadju*
 [Prf-go Past-when 3S.Nom]
 'When did he leave?'

- b. *uri* *vayk nu-ŋida* *timadju*
 [will go Fut-when 3S.Nom]
 'When will he leave?'

(60) Amis

- a. *anu hakuwa* *l<um>uwał* *cigra*
 [when set:off<AF>set:off 3S.Nom]
 'When will he set off?'

- b. *na i hakuwa* *l<um>uwał* *cigra*
 [Prep when set:off<AF>set:off 3S.Nom]
 'When did he set off?'

Though Puyuma does not seem to make a distinction between past and future temporal expressions, the temporal interrogative word *asuwa* is preceded by the Oblique case marker *kan*, as shown below:

(61) Puyuma

- a. *a-uka-yu* *kan asuwa* *i* *taihok*
 [Red-go-2S.Nom Obl when Prep Taipei]
 'Where will you go to Taipei?'

- b. *qa-duwa-yu* *kan asuasawa*
 [Red-come-2S.Nom Obl when]
 'Where will you come?' (more polite)

Consequently, it is legitimate to treat all the temporal interrogative words discussed above as nouns.

4.2.3 Frequency Interrogatives

In the following discussion, we will examine the frequency interrogative word; i.e. question words glossed as 'how often'. Right

now we only have examples with the frequency interrogative from Mayrinax Atayal:

(62) Atayal

- a. *?i?* *makapi?a?* *ya?* *m-usa?=si?* *taypak*
 [Part how:often Top AF-go=2S.Nom Taipei]
 'How often do you go to Taipei?'

- b. *cu?* *ŋa?* *ya?* *makapi?a?* *ya?* *m-usa?=si?*
 [Part before Top how:often Top AF-go=2S.Nom
 taypak
 Taipei]
 'How often did you go to Taipei before?'

Note that the frequency interrogative *makapi?a?* in Mayrinax Atayal may optionally be preceded by the particle *?i?*, which justifies that the interrogative is a noun as well. As for the frequency interrogatives in other Formosan languages, more research is needed.

4.2.4 Reason Interrogatives

The next interrogative words to investigate are those that question the reasons. First, consider the following Paiwan sentences which contain such an interrogative:

(63) Paiwan

- a. *kəməlaŋ-akən* *tu* *pida* *ka tua aku* *a* *zua*
 [know-1S.Nom Acc how:many and Acc why Lin that]
 'I know how many and why it is'

- b. *na-k<əm>uda* *aku-sun* *a* *kitukuda*
 [Prf-do<AF>do why-2S.Nom Lin leave]
 'Why did you leave?'

As shown in sentence (63a), the Paiwan interrogative word *aku* 'why' may be preceded by the Accusative case marker *tua*, which seems to justify the named interrogative is a noun. However, in (63b), *aku* attracts clitic pronoun *-sun*, which seems to indicate that *aku* may be a

verb. The actual status of *aku* is then a question. In the other Formosan languages/dialects investigated here, we have no evidence to justify that such 'why' interrogative words are nouns since they are not preceded by any case markers or the like, as illustrated below:

(64) Atayal

a. *micuwa?*=su? ka? m-usa? la
[why=2S.Nom Lin AF-go Part]
'Why did you go?'

b. *micuwa?*=su? ka? m-a?usa? ?i? kali?an kisa?
[why=2S.Nom Lin AF-go Part afternoon today]
'Why will you go this afternoon?'

(65) Rukai (Labuan)

a. a-su wa labua
[why-2S.Nom go Labuan]
'Why do/did you go to Labuan?'

b. a-nu ma-da-daməkə
[why-2P.Nom Rec-Red-beat]
'Why do you beat each other?'

(66) Tsou

a. *mainci* te-ko m-oŋoi
[why AF/Irr-2S.Nom AF-leave]
'Why will you leave?'

b. *mainci* i-ko eobak-a na taini
[why NAF/Real-2S.Gen beat-PF 3S]
'Why are you beating him?'

(67) Puyuma

a. *ɖaw* m-uka-yu i tayhok
[why AF-go-2S.Nom Prep Taipei]
'Where did you go to Taipei?'

b. *ɖaw* s<əm>aŋa-yu ɖa idus
[why make<AF>make-2S.Nom Obl.Nsp spoon]
'Why do you make spoons?'

(68) Saisiyat

a. *fo?o* ʔampo[a? rima?
[2S.Nom why leave]
'Why do you leave?'

b. *fo?o* ʔampo[a? ʃ<om>eβet hisia
[2S.Nom why beat<AF>beat 3S.Acc]
'Why did you beat him?'

(69) Amis

a. *anu/naw* t<um>aŋic kisu
[why cry<AF>cry 2S.Nom]
'Why do you cry?'

b. *anu/naw* mi-palu? ci panay-an
[why AF-beat Acc₁ Panay-Acc₂]
'Why do you want to beat Panay?'

Moreover, we notice that all the above sentences seem to be verbal sentences, with the 'why' interrogatives appearing in sentence initial position (except for Saisiyat) and preceding the main verbs. Also, the Mayrinax Atayal and Labun Rukai sentences show that such interrogative words may attract bound pronouns, which indicates that the interrogative words in question may be part of a predicate. Nevertheless, we have no convincing evidence to claim what part of speech these 'why' interrogative words belong to, which deserves further investigation.

4.3 Verbal Interrogatives

Some of the interrogative words in the investigated Formosan languages belong to the verb class. They may manifest events and appear as predicates. Though this group of interrogative words and the nominal interrogative group (discussed in Section 3.1) may both serve as predicates and appear in sentence initial position (except in Saisiyat), there are two ways, among others, to differentiate one from the other:

- (i) while the verbal interrogatives can be affixed with focus and/or tense/aspect markers, or attract clitic pronouns, the nominal interrogatives cannot;
- (ii) while the nominal interrogatives may appear in sentence medial position and be preceded by (or contain) case markers, the verbal

interrogatives cannot.

Now let us first examine the verbal interrogative words in Mayrinax Atayal. In the named language, the interrogative word *humicuwa?* 'how' and its various forms with different focus/tense/aspect affixes are used when one questions the means of transportation used to move from one location to another, or the instrument with which some activity is done. For instance:

(70) Atayal

- a. *h<um>icuwa?=si?* cu? m-usa?=si?
[how<AF>how=2S.Nom Lin AF-go=2S.Nom
taypak
Taipei]
'How did you go to Taipei?'
- b. *pa-hicuwa?=si?* m-usa? i? taypak
[Fut.AF-how=2S.Nom AF-go Prep Taipei]
'How will you go to Taipei?'
- c. *h<in>icuwa?=si?* ma-yal ku? qulih ka?
[how<Prf>how=2S.Gen AF-take Nom.Rf fish Lin
hani
this]
'How did you catch this fish?'
- d. *hacuwal-im=si?* ma-yal ku? qulih ka? hani
[how-PF=2S.Gen AF-take Nom.Rf fish Lin this]
'How did you catch this fish?'

Similarly, in some of the other named Formosan languages, the interrogative words glossed as 'how' function in a similar way. Examples containing such verbal interrogative words in Tsou, Nanwang Puyuma, Paiwan and Amis are given below:

(71) Tsou

- da-ko *mainenu* ?o hia-su pei?
[Hab-2S how Nom -2S cook]
'How do you cook?'

(72) Puyuma

- a. *k<am>uta-yu* m-uka i tayhok
[how<AF>how-2S.Nom AF-go Prep Taipei]
'How did you go to Taipei?'
- b. *k-a-kuta-yu* m-uka i balanaw
[how-Red-how-2S.Nom AF-go Prep Taitung]
'How are you going to Taitung?'

(73) Paiwan

- a. *k<in>uda* ka k<am>asa
[how<Prf>how when.Past cook<AF>cook]
'How did you cook?'
- b. *ma-kuda-sun*
[AF-how-2S.Nom]
'How are you?'
- c. *uri k<am>uda-sun* a k<am>asa tua
[will how<Prf>how-2S.Nom Lin cook<AF>cook Acc
kinsa
rice]
'How will you cook the rice?'

(74) Amis

- a. *maan-am* isu pasi-fana? tu caci yaw nu amis
[what-PF 2S.Gen -know Acc language Gen Amis]
'How do you teach the Amis language?'
- b. *paka-maan* kisu tayra pasi-fana tu caci yaw
[PAKA-what 2S.Nom go -know Acc language
nu amis
Gen Amis]
'What transportation will you take to teach the Amis language?'

There are also languages such as Saisiyat in which the interrogative word glossed as 'how' is not affixed with any focus or tense/aspect marker:

(75) Saisiyat

- a. *fo?o* *nak-?ino* rima? toypey
[2S.Nom how go Taipei]
'How do you go to Taipei?'

b.foʔo nak-ʔino t<om>alək ka pazay
 [2S.Nom how cook<AF>cook Acc rice]
 'How do you cook rice?'

Though the interrogative word nak-ʔino 'how' in Saisiyat is not marked with any focus or tense/aspect affixes, the syntactic distribution of the named interrogative word somehow helps to justify that it is a verb. That is, the interrogative word in question follows the noun (phrase) functioning as the subject of the sentence and it may precede a verb which supports that nak-ʔino 'how' is a verb in Saisiyat.¹³ Consequently, we conclude that the interrogative words glossed as 'how' in the above-mentioned Formosan languages are all verbs and they are verbal interrogatives.

5. Concluding Remarks

In the present paper, we investigate the interrogative constructions in seven Formosan languages, including Atayal, Saisiyat, Tsou, Rukai, Paiwan, Puyuma and Amis. The interrogative constructions under study here are yes-no questions, alternative questions and content questions (or so-called wh-questions).

In the above-mentioned languages, there are two strategies of forming the yes-no questions: (i) phonological strategy: the yes-no questions and their corresponding declarative sentences may be identical except for different intonation patterns, pitch accent or vowel length and (ii) lexical/morphological strategy: the yes-no questions may be formed with their corresponding declarative sentences and a question particle or a bound morpheme, with or without changing the intonation pattern.

13. In Saisiyat, the word nak is a verb, which may also help support that nak-ʔino is a verb as well:

(i) hizaʔ korkorin nak hi yaʔaʔ nisia
 [that child resemble Acc father 3S.Nom]
 'That child is like his father'

As for the alternative questions in the languages under study, their structures vary: they can either be nominal/equational or verbal/non-equational sentences; they may either contain a word meaning 'or' or not; their intonation patterns may resemble or differ from those of their corresponding declarative sentences; they may or may not have identical elements 'deleted', and such 'deletion' may be either forward or backward.

Similarly, the special questions (or so-called wh-questions) can also be either nominal/equational or verbal/non-equational constructions. As for the wh-words appearing in special questions, they can either be nouns or verbs. Structurally, the interrogative words belonging to the noun class are preceded by case markers and the like, while the interrogative words in the verb class can be affixed with focus and/or tense/aspect markers. Functionally, these interrogative words can be categorized into three types: (i) nominal interrogatives, i.e. wh-words manifesting participants/referents in argument position, and functioning as pronouns/nouns which can be preceded by case markers; (ii) adverbial interrogatives, i.e. wh-words designating referents in non-argument position, and functioning as adverbs and (iii) verbal interrogatives, i.e. wh-words functioning as verbs which manifest events and can be affixed with focus and/or tense/aspect markers. The last class, i.e. verbal interrogatives, seems to be unique to Formosan, or perhaps Western Austronesian, languages.

References

- Chiang, Wen-yu. 1996. *The intonation of interrogative sentences in Nantou Atayal*. Taipei: National Science Council Report NSC 83-0301-H002-072. (In Chinese)
- Comrie, Bernard. 1984. Interrogativity in Russian. In *Interrogativity: A colloquium on the grammar, typology and pragmatics of questions in seven diverse languages*, pp.7-46, ed. by Chisholm, Milic and Greppin. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Harris, Alice C. 1984. Interrogativity in Georgian. In *Interrogativity: A colloquium on the grammar, typology and pragmatics of questions in seven diverse languages*, pp.63-112, ed. by Chisholm, Milic and Greppin. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Huang, Lillian M. 1995. *A study of Mayrinax syntax*. Taipei: The Crane Publishing Company.
- , et al. 1998. A typological overview of nominal case marking systems of some Formosan languages. In *Selected papers from ISOLIT-II*, pp.23-49. Taipei: National Taiwan University.
- Szakos, Joseph. 1994. *Die Sprache der Cou: Untersuchungen zur Synchronie einer austronesischen Sprache auf Taiwan*. Ph.D. dissertation, Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität zu Bonn, Germany.
- Yeh, Marie M. 1991. *Saisiyat structure*. M.A. thesis, Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu.
- Zeitoun, Elizabeth. 1995. *Problèmes de linguistique dans les langues aborigènes de Taiwan [Issues on Formosan Languages]*. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Paris 7, Paris.