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This paper investigates the grammatical realization of triadic arguments in Tsou in light of Levin’s (1993) English transitive/ditransitive alternations. Major findings include the following: (i) Tsou is a language without double object construction—one of the triadic arguments must be in the oblique case; (ii) Tsou is an applicative-prominent language—triadic events are typically expressed by applicative constructions. Peripheral arguments such as a beneficiary are usually introduced by an applicative affix; even a triadic theme can be applicatively indexed; (iii) Tsou is an ergative language—transitive/applicative objects must align with intransitive agents and occur in the absolutive case; (iv) Tsou is basically an asymmetrical object language—only one of the two internal arguments is eligible for advancement; (v) a triadic source must occur in the genitive case (instead of the oblique case) if it is not advanced.
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1. Introduction

Research on triadic/ditransitive morphosyntax plays an important role in shaping linguistic theory. A remarkable example of this is Larson’s (1988) analysis of the double object construction (hereafter DOC) in English. In this seminal work, Larson analyzes English DOC as being comprised of an inner VP core and an outer VP shell. Widely
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recognized as the Larsonian approach, Larson’s VP-shell analysis not only manages to uncover the binary-branching structural nature of DOC but also inspires the decomposition of what was taken as a simple VP into a little v plus a VP core (Chomsky 1995: 315, 331). Both the binary branching analysis and the light verb analysis have been accepted as the standard views of phrase structure under the current Minimalist Program (Chomsky 1995, 2000, 2001).

Nonetheless, triadic/ditransitive research has not received much attention in Formosan literature. A comprehensive survey of triadic/ditransitive constructions is yet to appear, let alone an in-depth analysis of them. Huang & Huang (2007) give a detailed description of a wide variety of verbs in Tsou, but they cover only a small number of triadic/ditransitive verbs in their survey. This paper aims to fill the gap, focusing on a comprehensive survey of triadic/ditransitive verbs in Tsou. Hopefully, this descriptive study might serve as a reliable reference for a more in-depth understanding of triadic/ditransitive construction.

In this paper, I use the term ‘triadic’ instead of ‘ditransitive’ because, unlike English, Tsou presumably has no ditransitive construction, as will be illustrated in subsequent sections. By ‘triadic construction’, I am referring to a dynamic event that involves three distinct participants, with the external argument as an agent, one of the internal arguments as a theme, and the other either as a recipient, a goal, a source, a location, a beneficiary, or an instrument. As will become clear shortly, the two internal arguments do not surface as core arguments at a time in Tsou—either of them must be in the oblique case, whereas the external argument remains syntactically prominent throughout. To surface as a core argument, the internal arguments must appeal to either transitive or applicative devices.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 sketches some important aspects of Tsou grammar which have strong bearing on the subsequent discussions, including the case-marking system and the transitive-applicative distinction in Tsou. Sections 3 through 5 check triadic verbs of various kinds in Tsou in light of Levin’s (1993) transitive/ditransitive alternations in English. Section 6 summarizes several important generalizations and general tendencies from the preceding sections and discusses their typological and theoretical implications. Section 7 concludes the paper and points out some directions for future inquiry.

---

1 In Margetts’ (2007) terminology, this is called ‘three-participant event’. However, I stick to the term ‘triadic’ for ease of exposition.
2. A grammatical sketch

In this paper, I identify Tsou as an ergative language, along with many Philippine-type languages (Starosta 1997, Reid & Liao 2004, Aldridge 2004, among others). Following Aldridge (2004, 2008) and H. Chang (2011), I treat voice morphology as markers of transitivity in Tsou. In the transitivity analysis, an actor voice is reanalyzed as an intransitive, as in (1a), a patient voice as a simple transitive, as in (1b), a locative voice as a locative applicative (LA), as in (1c), and a(n) benefactive/instrumental voice as a(n) benefactive/instrumental applicative (shortened as BA), as in (1d). What were earlier treated as nominative and genitive/oblique case markers are now re-labeled as absolutive and ergative/genitive case markers respectively. This paper also argues, along with H. Chang (2011), that despite sharing the same morphological forms, ergative/genitive and oblique case markers should be distinguished, with the former encoding core arguments and the latter peripheral arguments. The split analysis is driven by the fact that unlike oblique arguments, ergative arguments remain syntactically prominent. For example, ergative arguments trigger verbal agreement but oblique arguments never do so, as illustrated in (1b-d).

(1) Tsou

a. mi-ta m-ongsi ’o oko
   INTR-3S INTR-cry ABS child
   ‘The child is crying.’

b. i-ta, eobak-a ta pasuya, ’o oko
   TR-3S beat-TR ERG PN ABS child
   ‘Pasuya beat the child.’

c. zou fatu i-ta t yac’-i ta pasuya
   EMP stone TR-3S stand-LA ERG PN
   ‘The stone is where Pasuya stands.’

The traditional voice analysis is undesirable since the so-called voice markers in Tsou can (i) introduce a peripheral argument (like applicative affixes), (ii) trigger meaning change (like transitivizers), or (iii) co-occur (like transitive/applicative affixes). For a more comprehensive illustration, readers are referred to H. Chang (2011).

Ross & Teng (2005) also treat voice markers as transitivity markers, but they are not committed to the analysis of locative and instrumental voice as applicatives.

This paper adopts Leipzig Glossing Rules in glossing examples and assigning abbreviations, but with the following amendments: AGT=agent, CONJ=conjunctor, BA=benefactive/instrumental applicative, EMP=emphatic marker, INST=instrumental case, LA=locative applicative, PN=personal name, PLN=place name, RCPT=recipient, TT=transported theme.
Semantic evidence also lends support to the split analysis. While ergative arguments invariably represent an agent/experiencer, oblique arguments vary considerably, ranging from a patient (2a), an instrument (2b), a location (2c), a time adverbial (2d), to a clausal reason (2e). The wide-ranging thematic coverage by the same set of case markers hints at its grammatical status. In particular, given that the time adverbial in (2d) and the reason adverbial in (2e) cannot be identified as a core argument, a logical reasoning follows—the patient/instrument/place arguments that are marked in the same way as time/reason adverbials should not be identified as core arguments either. After all, unlike ergative arguments, the alleged oblique arguments in (2a-e) do not show any core argumenthood in syntactic operations.

(2) Tsou

a. mi-ta eobako to oko ’e mo’o (patient)
   INTR-3S beat(INTR) OBL child ABS PN
   ‘Mo’o is beating a child.’

b. cuma na te-ko papas-a ta f’uf’u (instrument)
   what ABS IRR-2S cut-TR OBL knife
   ‘What are you going to cut with a knife?’

c. os’o ait-i to kuyai nehucma taini (place)
   TR-1S see-LA OBL car yesterday 3S.ABS
   ‘I saw him on a bus yesterday.’

d. te-ta uh ne fuengu no taseona (time)
   IRR-3S go LOC mountain OBL morning
   ‘He will go to the mountain in the morning.’

e. mi-ta-cu o-noyxnx to la-ta an-a (reason)
   INTR-3S-COS eat-fat OBL HAB-3S eat-TR
   ‘He becomes fat because of his (bad) eating habit.’

The split analysis yields a three-way case-marking distinction, as indicated in Table 1 below.

---

5 For typographic convenience, I substitute x for the high unrounded central vowel i, ng for the velar nasal and ’ for the glottal stop throughout the paper.

6 Readers are referred to Pan (2010) for a detailed description of various obliquely marked time adverbials in Tsou.
Table 1: A three-way case-marking distinction in Tsou

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ergative/Genitive</th>
<th>Oblique</th>
<th>Absolutive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Being seen by both (the speaker and the hearer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>near</td>
<td>ta</td>
<td>ta</td>
<td>’e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>middle</td>
<td>ta</td>
<td>ta</td>
<td>si</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distant</td>
<td>ta</td>
<td>ta</td>
<td>ta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not being seen by both (the speaker and the hearer)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>but having been seen by the speaker</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>’o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and having not been seen by the speaker</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>but known to both</td>
<td>ne?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>but actually being somewhere nearby</td>
<td>nca</td>
<td></td>
<td>co</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-existent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ci</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the ergative set of case markers are further divided into two subtypes: ergative vs. genitive. They are subsumed under the same category because they are in complementary distribution—ergative arguments occur only in verb phrases but genitive ones only in noun phrases. They are assigned distinct case labels because they display different distributional patterns. While an ergative argument must occur between the verb and the absolutive argument, a genitive argument can move along with its head noun and end up sentence-finally. Compare:

(3) Tsou
a. i-si₁, f-a-eni to mo’o to pasuya₁, ’o tposx-si₁
   TR-3S give-TR-BA OBL PN ERG PN ABS book-3S
   ‘Pasuya gave his book to Mo’o.’

a’. *i-si₁, f-a-eni to mo’o ’o tposx-si₁ to pasuya₁
   TR-3S give-TR-BA OBL PN ABS book-3S ERG PN

7 For the time being, I follow the traditional analysis and identify ne as a locative case marker (Zeitoun 2000, 2005, Pan 2010). Still, it should be noted that ne can co-occur with an absolutive case marker, as illustrated below.

(i) mo yupa cum’u ’o ne tfuya ho tapangx
   INTR RECP near ABS PLN CONJ PLN
   ‘Tfuya and Tapangx are geographically close to each other.’

This poses a challenge for the case-marking analysis. I leave this issue for further investigation.
Meanwhile, the sentence-initial realis auxiliary which is said to distinguish voice is now taken to differentiate transitivity and to accord as such with the lexical verb following it. Viewed this way, Tsou is a language that displays transitivity concord. As illustrated in (1), the intransitive auxiliary mi is in agreement with the intransitive verb mongsi and the transitive auxiliary i is in agreement with the transitive/applicative verbs eobaka/yac’itiocneni.

3. Realization of the agent, theme, and message

From this section on, I report on how triadic arguments are grammatically encoded in Tsou. This section checks the grammatical realization of the agent, the theme, and the (conveyed) message. Section 4 moves on to those thematic roles in connection with location, namely, the recipient, source, goal, and location. Section 5 turns to the beneficiary and instrument. For each thematic role, I discuss its realization in intransitive and transitive sentences respectively. I acknowledge that my triadic checklist is largely based on Levin’s (1993) transitive/ditransitive alternations in English.

3.1 The agent

In English, a triadic agent has two ways of being realized. In active sentences, it surfaces as the subject, as in John gave a book to Mary/John gave Mary a book. Alternatively, it occurs as an oblique argument in passive constructions, as in A book was given to Mary by John/Mary was given a book by John. Interestingly, Tsou is different from English in this regard. In Tsou, a triadic agent consistently surfaces as a core argument. It either occurs in the absolutive case or in the ergative case, depending on whether the direct object of the verb undergoes object shift. If object shift is attested, the agent will be realized in the ergative case. As in (4a-b), the agent of the giving event, pasuya, is marked by the ergative case marker to. In these sentences, pasuya should surface as a core argument, as evidenced by the fact that it can trigger verbal agreement, hence the presence of the co-referential third-person singular suffix -si on the auxiliary.
(4) Tsou

a. i-si, f-a-eni to mo’o to pasuya, 'o tposx-si,
TR-3S give-TR-BA OBL PN ERG PN ABS book-3S
‘Pasuya gave his book to Mo’o.’

b. i-si, fi-i to tposx to pasuya, 'o mo’o
TR-3S give-LA OBL book ERG PN ABS PN
‘Pasuya gave a book to Mo’o.’

Note also that the agent follows the recipient in (4a) and the theme in (4b). The reverse order is not allowed, as illustrated in (5a-b).

(5) Tsou

a. *i-si, f-a-eni to pasuya, to mo’o ’o tposx-si,8
TR-3S give-TR-BA ERG PN OBL PN ABS book-3S.GEN
Intended for ‘Pasuya gave his book to Mo’o.’

b. *i-si, fi-i to pasuya, to tposx ’o mo’o
TR-3S give-LA ERG PN OBL book ABS PN
Intended for ‘Pasuya gave Mo’o a book.’

On the other hand, the agent is realized in the absolutive case if object shift does not occur. As in (6a-c), the agent appears sentence-finally and is marked by the absolutive case marker ’o, whereas the theme and the recipient/location appear between the verb and the agent, both of them marked by the oblique case marker to. Like those in transitive sentences in (4), the agents in intransitive sentences in (6) also trigger verbal agreement on the auxiliary and should thus be treated as core arguments as well.

(6) Tsou

a. mi-ta, mo-fi to tposx (to paicx ’o pasuya,)
INTR-3S INTR-give OBL book OBL PN ABS PN
‘Pasuya gives a book to Paicx.’

b. mi-ta, mo-si to tposx to pangka (’o pasuya,)
INTR-3S INTR-put OBL book OBL table ABS PN
‘Pasuya puts a book on the table.’

8 This sentence will be grammatical if it means ‘Mo’o gave Pasuya his book’. On this reading, Mo’o serves as the agent and Pasuya as the recipient, consistent with the ‘requirement of an agent following a recipient’.
c. \text{mi-ta\text{\textunderscore}meo\text{\textunderscore}eoi to f\text{\textunderscore}ue-he} (\text{\textquotesingle} o mo\text{\textquotesingle}o)  
\text{INTR\text{-}3S} \text{ steal(INTR)} \text{ OBL} \text{ sweet\textunderscore}potato\text{\textunderscore}3P \text{ ABS} \text{ PN} 
\text{‘Mo\text{\textquotesingle}o steals their sweet potatoes.’}

In this view, the agent should be the only core argument in (6), despite the presence of three thematic roles in the sentences. Apart from the agent, the other two thematic roles surface as peripheral arguments; they are marked by the oblique case marker and syntactically inactive (e.g. unlike the agent, they do not trigger verbal agreement on the auxiliary). In terms of intransitivity, the sentences in (6) behave like English constructions involving double PP complements, as shown in (7)-(8).

(7) English (Jackendoff 1990:431)  
a. I talked \text{to John and Bill about themselves.}  
b. I heard \text{from John and Bill about themselves.}

(8) English (Pesetsky 1995:161)  
a. Sue spoke \text{to these people about each other’s friends.}  
b. Mary danced \text{with these people in each other’s hometowns.}

One major difference is that multiple complements are led by the same oblique case marker in Tsou but by distinct prepositions in English. This might be due to a productive case syncretism in Tsou.\footnote{A similar pattern is also observed in Puyuma, as argued in Teng (2009). In other Formosan languages such as Mayrinax Atayal and Saisiyat, multiple complements parallel to (6) are marked by distinct case markers, as in (i-ii)  
  
(i) Mayrinax Atayal (L. Huang 1995:98)  
\text{m<in>aiq=ci’ cu’ pila’ cku’ ulaqi’} \text{AF<\text{PAST}>give=1S.BN Acc.Nrf money DAT.Rf child}  
\text{‘I gave money to the child.’}  

(ii) Saisiyat (Hsieh & Huang 2006:101)  
\text{sia ‘am mo-bay ka ‘aehae’ kakaat ini’ Obay} \text{3SG. PRON.NOM FUT AF\text{-}give ACC one pen DAT PN}  
\text{‘She will give Obay one pen.’}}

\text{3.2 The (transported) theme}

In a triadic construction, the theme typically denotes an entity transferred from one location/person to another by an agent, hence also referred to as a transported theme (S. Huang 2005). In English, the grammatical realization of a transported theme varies
from one verb to another. For a *give*-type verb, the transported theme typically occurs as a direct/secondary object, as in (9).

(9)  a. John gave a book to Mary.
    b. John gave Mary a book.

In contrast, for a *provide*-type verb and a *load*-type verb, the transported theme can occur either as a direct object or as a prepositional complement, as in (10)-(11).

(10)  Levin (1993:65)
    a. The judge presented a prize to the winner.
    b. The judge presented the winner with a prize.

(11)  Levin (1993:51)
    b. Jack sprayed the wall with paint.

In Tsou, the realization of the transported theme is also subject to variation, but the variation in Tsou is not only due to verb class but also due to verb marking, as will become clear shortly. In Tsou, a transported theme is grammatically distinguished from a patient, as will be discussed in §3.2.2. A transported theme is either realized in the oblique case or the absolutive case in Tsou. Unlike an agent, a transported theme never surfaces as an ergative argument. In §3.2.1, I shall deal with the oblique realization of a transported theme. In §3.2.2, I shall discuss its absolutive realization.

3.2.1 In the oblique case

In an intransitive triadic sentence, the transported theme surfaces as a peripheral argument, marked by an oblique case marker, as illustrated above in (6). Here are more examples of this sort.

(12)  Tsou
    a. mi-’o yuevaho to emoo ta mo’o
        INTR-1S borrow(INTR) OBL house GEN PN
        ‘I rent Mo’o’s house.’
    b. mi-ta topco to ongko to taicini to tonghifza
        INTR-3S post(INTR) OBL photo GEN president OBL wall
        ‘He posts the president’s photo on the wall.’
c. mi-ta poe’ohx to fatu to aaskiti to ceonx
    INTR-3S push(INTR) OBL stone OBL edge GEN road
    ‘He pushes a rock to the roadside.’

Likewise, a transported theme is normally marked by an oblique case marker in a
locative applicative construction, as indicated in (13).10

(13) Tsou
a. os-‘o fi-i to tposx ’o mo’o
   TR-1S give-LA OBL book ABS PN
   ‘I gave a book to Mo’o.’

b. os-‘o si-i to tposx ’o pangka
   TR-1S put-LA OBL book ABS table
   ‘I put a book on the table.’

c. os-‘o tokx-i to fatu ’o ceoyu
   TR-1S throw-LA OBL stone ABS wasp.nest
   ‘I throw a stone at the wasp’s nest.’

d. zou f’u-f’ue to voyu ’o i-ta peo’eoz-i
   EMP RED-sweet.potato GEN PN ABS TR-3S steal-LA
   to f’ue
   OBL sweet.potato
   ‘Voyu’s sweet potato field is where he stole sweet potatoes.’

e. zou evi ’o os-‘o teih-i to nia av’u
   EMP tree ABS TR-1S hang-LA OBL PST dog
   ‘This tree is where I hang a dead dog.’

It should be noted that a transported theme might also surface as a peripheral
argument in a BA construction. However, this is restricted to verbs of creation, (caused)
movement, and acquisition.

---

10 A noticeable exception to this observation comes from the verb for ‘kick’. As shown below,
the LA suffix indexes an absolutive theme rather than an absolutive goal.

(i) os-‘o po’pot-i ’o mali
   TR-1S kick-LA ABS ball
   ‘I kick the ball.’
I shall return to this in §6.2.
(14) Verbs of creation in Tsou
   a. os-'o tpos-neni to tposx ’e ino-’u
      TR-1S write-BA OBL letter ABS mother-1S.GEN
      ‘I wrote a letter for my mother.’
   b. os-'o tpos-neni to tposx ’o ’empicu
      TR-1S write-BA OBL letter ABS pencil
      ‘I wrote a letter with the pencil.’

(15) Verbs of (caused) movement in Tsou
   a. os-'o haf-neni to tposx ’o paicx
      TR-1S bring-BA OBL book ABS PN
      ‘I brought a book for Paicx.’
   b. os-'o poe’oh-neni to kuyai ’o paicx
      TR-1S push-BA OBL car ABS PN
      ‘I push a car for Paicx.’

(16) Verbs of acquisition in Tsou
   a. i-ta phin-i-neni to simeo ’o pasuya
      TR-3S buy-LA-BA OBL pork ABS PN
      ‘He bought pork for Pasuya.’
   b. os-'o peo’eoz-neni to peisu ’o paicx
      TR-1S steal(TR)-BA OBL money ABS PN
      ‘I steal money for Paicx.’
   c. os-'o ti’ingi-neni to peisu ’o mameoi
      TR-1S rob(TR)-BA OBL money ABS old.man
      ‘I rob money for the old man.’

By contrast, a transported theme occurs in the absolutive case in triadic constructions other than those involving verbs of creation, (caused) movement, and acquisition, as will be illustrated in the next section.

3.2.2 In the absolutive case

Triadic constructions can be divided into two classes with respect to whether the transported theme is encoded in the absolutive case by means of transitive or applicative devices. The absolutive transported theme is indexed by a transitive suffix -a in Class I but mostly by a BA suffix -neni in Class II.
3.2.2.1 The transported theme and transitive marking

This class includes verbs of placement, (caused) movement, and acquisition.

(17) Verbs of placement in Tsou
   a. os’o si-a to takubingi ’o naveu
      TR-1S put-TR OBL bowl ABS rice
      ‘I put the rice into a bowl.’
   b. i-si teaph-a to kexpx ’o huv’o
      TR-3S fill-TR OBL backpack ABS orange
      ‘I fill the oranges into a backpack.’
   c. os’o teih-a to sxesx ’o yxsx’u
      TR-1S hang-TR OBL pole ABS clothes-1S.GEN
      ‘I hang my clothes on the pole.’
   d. os’o e’-usn-a ne fuengu ’o po’oyua
      TR-1S pull-towards-TR LOC mountain ABS hose
      ‘I pull the hose towards the mountain.’

(18) Verbs of (caused) movement in Tsou
   a. os’o haf-a uh to teova ’o nia fou’ua
      TR-1S bring-TR go OBL hut ABS PST meat-deer
      ‘I brought the deer meat to a hut.’
   b. os’o poe’oh-a ’o fatu
      TR-1S push-TR ABS stone
      ‘I push the rock.’
   c. i-si cih-a ’o pucu
      TR-3S throw.away-TR ABS garbage
      ‘He threw away the garbage.’

(19) Verbs of acquisition in Tsou
   a. os’o peo’ez-a ’o f’ue-he
      TR-1S steal-TR ABS sweet.potato-3P.GEN
      ‘I stole their sweet potatoes.’
   b. i-ta ti’ing-a ’o peisu to mameoi
      TR-3S rob-TR ABS money GEN old.man
      ‘He robbed the old man of his money.’

---

11 There seems to be an accidental gap—the acquisition verb ‘buy’ does not bear the transitive suffix -a; hence *phina is not attested in Tsou.
In this respect, the transported theme is parallel to the patient of a dyadic transitive verb. Compare:

(20) Tsou
a. i-ta eobak-a 'o oko
   TR-3S beat-TR ABS child
   ‘He beat the child.’

b. os-'o af'oy-a 'o pethx’ta
   TR-1S break-TR ABS window
   ‘I broke the window.’

It is noteworthy that for triadic verbs of this class, their BA marking normally indexes a thematic role other than the transported theme.12

(21) Verbs of placement in Tsou
a. os-'o si-eni to naveu to takubingi 'o ba'i
   TR-1S put-BA OBL rice OBL bowl ABS grandmother
   ‘I put rice into a bowl for Grandmother.’

b. *os-'o si-eni to takubingi 'o naveu
   TR-1S put-BA OBL bowl ABS rice

(22) Verbs of dyadic transitive verbs in Tsou
a. os-'o haf-neni to tposx 'o paicx
   TR-1S bring-BA OBL book ABS PN
   ‘I brought a book for Paicx.’

12 An alleged exception to this observation is the verb for ‘steal’. While its BA marking usually cross-refers to the beneficiary, as in (ia), for a few informants its indexing of the transported theme is also acceptable, as in (ib).

(i) a. os-'o peo’ez-neni to peisu 'o paicx
   TR-1S steal-BA OBL money ABS PN
   ‘I stole money for Paicx.’

b. os-'o peo’ez-neni to paicx 'o peisu
   TR-1S steal-BA OBL PN ABS money
   ‘I stole the money from Paicx.’ (# I stole the money for Paicx.)

This gives rise to a symmetrical applicative construction, an extremely rare configuration in Tsou.
b. *os-'o  haf-neni  ’o  tposx
   TR-1S  bring-BA  ABS  book

(23) Verbs of acquisition in Tsou
a.  os-'o  ti’ingi-neni  to  peisu  ’o  mameoi
   TR-1S  rob(TR)-BA  OBL  money  ABS  old.man
   ‘I rob money for the old man.’

b.  *os-'o  ti’ingi-neni  ’o  peisu
   TR-1S  rob(TR)-BA  ABS  money

It seems that there is a division of labor between a transitive construction and an
applicative construction. I shall return to this point later.

3.2.2.2 The transported theme and applicative marking

In Class II, the absolutive transported theme is typically associated with the BA
suffi

(24) Verbs of (caused) possession in Tsou
a.  os-'o  f-a-eni  to  mo’o  ’o  tposx
   TR-1S  give-TR-BA  OBL  PN  ABS  book
   ‘I gave the book to Mo’o.’

b.  i-ta  phi-eni  to  pasuya  ’o  simeo
   TR-3S  buy(TR)-BA  OBL  PN  ABS  pork
   ‘He sold the pork to Pasuya.’

c.  os-'o  pacohiv-eni  to  ’o-'oko  ’o  engo
   TR-1S  teach(TR)-BA  OBL  RED-child  ABS  English
   ‘I teach English to children.’

(25) Verbs of (caused) movement in Tsou
a.  os-'o  to’s-eni  to  evoza  ’o  pucu
   TR-1S  throw-BA  OBL  valley  ABS  garbage
   ‘I throw the garbage to a valley.’

b.  os-'o  tokx-neni  to  chumu  ’o  fatu
   TR-1S  throw-BA  OBL  water  ABS  stone
   ‘I throw the stone to water.’
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(26) Verbs of placement in Tsou
  a. os-’o ngov’-eni to sxesx ’o yxsx-’u
     TR-1S hang-BA OBL pole ABS clothes-1S.GEN
     ‘I hang my clothes on the pole.’
  b. os-’o topc-eni to tonghifza ’o ongko-’u
     TR-1S post-BA OBL wall ABS photo-1S.GEN
     ‘I post my photo on a wall.’

It is noteworthy that triadic verbs of this class do not stand alone with the transitive suffix -a. This is evidenced by the fact that the replacement of the BA suffix -neni with the transitive suffix -a on the verbs in (27)-(29) all result in ungrammaticality, as shown below.

(27) Verbs of (caused) GENession in Tsou
  a. * os-’o fi-a to mo’o ’o tposx
     TR-1S give-TR OBL PN ABS book
  b. * i-ta phi-a to pasuya ’o simeo
     TR-3S buy-TR OBL PN ABS pork
  c. * os-’o pacohiv-a to ’o-’oko ’o engo
     TR-1S teach-TR OBL RED-child ABS English

(28) Verbs of (caused) movement in Tsou
  a. * os-’o to’s-a to evoza ’o pucu
     TR-1S throw-TR OBL valley ABS garbage
  b. * os-’o tokx-a to chumu ’o fatu
     TR-1S throw-TR OBL water ABS stone

(29) Verbs of placement in Tsou
  a. * os-’o ngov’-a to sxesx ’o yxsx-’u
     TR-1S hang-TR OBL pole ABS clothes-1S.GEN
  b. * os-’o topc-a to tonghifza ’o ongko-’u
     TR-1S post-TR OBL wall ABS photo-1S.GEN

In this respect, triadic verbs of Class II should be differentiated from those of Class I. In Class I, triadic verbs are compatible with both the transitive suffix -a and the BA suffix -neni, with the former indexing an absolutive theme and the latter an absolutive beneficiary/instrument, as illustrated above in (17)-(19) and (21)-(23). By contrast, triadic verbs of Class II do not stand alone with the transitive suffix -a, as indicated in (27)-(29). With the BA suffix -neni, triadic verbs of Class II index an absolutive
transported theme rather than an absolutive beneficiary/instrument, as shown in (24)-(26). To sum up, the BA suffix -neni has two distinct functions: it advances a(n) beneficiary/instrument on the one hand and a transported theme on the other, as diagrammed below.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1:** The functional split of the BA suffix -neni in Tsou

It remains to be worked out how the two distinct functions are conditioned.

### 3.2.3 The conveyed message

Triadic verbs of message-conveying such as ‘tell’ and ‘ask’ semantically select a conveyed message rather than a transported theme as the third argument, along with an agent and a goal. Apart from being realized as a noun phrase, the conveyed message can also occur as a clausal complement. This contrasts with the realization of a transported theme. Compare:

(30) Levin (1993:203)
    a. Ellen told a **story** to Helen.
    b. Ellen told Helen a **story**.

(31) Levin (1993:203)
    a. Ellen told Helen that the party would be tonight.
    b. Ellen told Helen how to avoid the crowd.
    c. Ellen told Helen to come.

The grammatical duality is also found in Tsou, as reported in the next two sections.

### 3.2.3.1 In the oblique case

A conveyed message behaves like a transported theme in occurring in the oblique case in an intransitive construction. As in (32a-b), the conveyed messages are marked by the oblique case markers to and no respectively, with the verbs in their intransitive forms exsvxtx and tuocosx.
Triadic Encoding in Tsou

(32) Tsou
a. mi’-o exsvxtx to te uh ne fuengu
   INTR-1S tell(INTR) OBL IRR go LOC mountain
   ‘I tell (them) that I will go hunting on the mountain.’

b. mi’-o tuocosx no te-ta hioa
   INTR-1S ask(INTR) OBL IRR-3S work(TR)
   ‘I ask (him) about what he is going to do.’

3.2.3.2 In the absolutive case?

However, as noted by Huang & Huang (2007), the realization of a conveyed message might vary from one verb to another in Tsou. This is particularly true in transitive/applicative contexts. For the applicative verbs tuocosi/tuocosneni, the conveyed message is normally encoded in the oblique case, as illustrated below:

(33) Tsou
a. os’-o tuocos-i ’e mo’o no te’-o hioa
   TR-1S ask-LA ABS PN OBL IRR-1S work(TR)
   ‘I ask Mo’o what I shall do.’

b. os’-o tuocos-neni no te-ta hioa ’e pasuya
   TR-1S ask-BA OBL IRR-3S work(TR) ABS PN
   ‘I ask on Pasuya’s behalf what he shall do.’

For the LA verb tuocosi, the conveyed message can also be realized in the absolutive case position:

(34) Tsou
os’-o tuocos-i na te-ta hioa
   TR-1S ask-LA ABS IRR-3S work(TR)
   ‘I ask about what he is going to do.’

---

13 As suggested in earlier examples, the canonical word order in Tsou is that an oblique argument precedes the absolutive argument. However, the oblique argument follows the absolutive argument in (33a). The deviation from the canonical word order might be due to a syntactic movement analogous to the Heavy NP Shift in English, i.e. the sentence-final oblique argument might be originated within vP but moved out of vP due to its heavy phonological weight; witness (33b), where the oblique argument appears before the absolutive argument.
Nonetheless, for the transitive/applicative verbs *exsvxta*, *exsvxti*, and *exsvxtneni*, the conveyed message typically occurs as a clausal complement, led by the conjunctor-turned-complementizer *ho* rather than by any case marker.\(^{14}\)

(35) Tsou

a. os-'o exsvxt-a to mo’o *ho* te uh ne fuengu
TR-1S tell-TR OBL PN COMP IRR go LOC mountain
‘I tell Mo’o that I will go hunting on the mountain.’

b. os-'o exsvxt-i ’o haah’o *ho* te uh ne fuengu
TR-1S tell-LA ABS everybody COMP IRR go LOC mountain
‘I tell everybody that I will go hunting on the mountain.’

c. te-ko exsvxt-neni (na) a’o *ho* o’a te-'o maine’e
IRR-2S tell-BA ABS 1S COMP NEG IRR-1S return
‘Please tell (him/her) on my behalf that I will not return home.’

There do not seem to be any absolutive argument in the sentences in (35a-c). Still, the conveyed message of the transitive verb *exsvxta* can occur in the absolutive case if it is realized as a noun phrase. Compare:

(36) Tsou

os-'o exsvxt-a to mo’o ’o ongko-’u
TR-1S tell-TR OBL PN ABS name-1S.GEN
‘I tell Mo’o my name.’

It is evident that a conveyed message has wider realizations than a transported theme in Tsou. It is thus necessary to differentiate a conveyed message from a transported theme in Tsou.

### 4. Location and its kind

In this section, I explore the grammatical realization of location-related thematic roles in triadic constructions, including the recipient, source, goal, and location.

#### 4.1 The recipient

As is well-known, a recipient might be eligible for dative shift and advanced from a prepositional complement to a direct object in English.

---

\(^{14}\) See Tsai (2007) for an account of the evolution of the conjunctor *ho.*
(37)  a. John gave a book to Mary. (dative construction)
     b. John gave Mary a book. (double object construction)

The advancement of a recipient from an oblique position to a core argument position is also attested in Tsou. However, unlike English, the advancement does not yield a double object construction in Tsou.

4.1.1 In the oblique case

In Tsou, an oblique recipient is normally associated with an applied verb. This is already illustrated in (24), repeated below as (38).

(38) Oblique recipients in Tsou
   a. os-’o f-a-eni to mo’o ’o tposx
      TR-1S give-TR-BA OBL PN ABS book
      ‘I gave the book to Mo’o.’
   b. i-ta phi-eni to pasuya ’o simeo
      TR-3S buy(TR)-BA OBL PN ABS pork
      ‘He sold the pork to Pasuya.’
   c. os-’o pacohiv-eni to ’o-’oko ’o engo
      TR-1S teach(TR)-BA OBL RED-child ABS English
      ‘I teach English to children.’

More examples of this sort are given in (39):

(39) Oblique recipients in Tsou
   a. os-’o pa’iun-eni to mo’o ’o tposx
      TR-1S send(TR)-BA OBL PN ABS book
      ‘I send the book to Mo’o.’
   b. os-’o yoovei-neni to mo’o ’o peisu
      TR-1S return(TR)-BA OBL PN ABS money
      ‘I return the money to Mo’o.’
   c. os-’o yuevah-neni to voyu ’o emoo-’u
      TR-1S lend(TR)-BA OBL PN ABS house-1S.GEN
      ‘I lend/rent my house to Voyu.’

For my informants, the co-occurrence of an oblique recipient with an intransitive verb is not preferred. Compare:
(40) Tsou
a. mi-’o mo-fi to tposx (to paicx)
   INTR-1S INTR-give OBL book (OBL PN)
   ‘I give a book to Paicx.’

b. mi-’o m-acohio to engo (?to paicx)
   INTR-1S INTR-teach OBL English (OBL PN)
   Intended for ‘I teach English to Paicx.’

c. mi-’o m-a’iunu to tposx (?to paicx)
   INTR-1S INTR-send OBL letter (OBL PN)
   Intended for ‘I send a letter to Paicx.’

There is evidence that the above observation should be on the right track. Note that
the intransitive form for ‘return’ is unavailable in Tsou. Compare (41) with (39b).

(41) Tsou
*mi-’o yoovei to peisu to mo’o
   INTR-1S return(INTR) OBL money OBL PN
   Intended for ‘I return money to Mo’o.’

In a similar vein, the intransitive form for ‘to lend’ has a different meaning from its
applicative counterpart yuevahneni. As shown in (42), yuevaho means ‘to borrow’ rather
than ‘to lend’. Compare:

(42) Tsou
a. mi-’o yuevaho to tposx to paicx
   INTR-1S borrow(INTR) OBL book GEN PN
   ‘I borrow Paicx’s book.’

b. os-’o yuevah-neni to voyu ’o emoo-’u
   TR-1S borrow(TR)-BA OBL PN ABS house-1S.GEN
   ‘I lend/rent my house to Voyu.’

A similar meaning shift is also observed with the verb for ‘to sell’. Compare:

(43) Tsou
a. mi-’o m-ihino to tposx to paicx
   INTR-1S INTR-buy OBL book GEN PN
   ‘I buy Paicx’s book.’
b. i-ta phi-eni to pasuya ’o simeo
   TR-3S sell(TR)-BA OBL PN ABS pork
   ’He sold the pork to Pasuya.’

An interesting observation induced from (41)-(43) is that oblique recipients are not compatible with the intransitive verbs in question. The incompatibility is achieved either by ruling out the intransitive form, as in yoovei, or taking out the oblique recipients from the constructions, as in yuevaho and mihino. For yuevaho and mihino, the obliquely marked arguments refer to a possessor/source instead of a recipient. To sum up, an oblique recipient is dis-preferred in intransitive constructions.

4.1.2 In the absolutive case

In an LA construction, the recipient is almost always encoded in the absolutive case. Consider:

(44) Tsou
   a. os’o fi-i to tposx ’o mo’o
      TR-1S give-LA OBL book ABS PN
      ‘I gave a book to Mo’o.’
   b. os’o pacohiv-i to engo ’o oko
      TR-1S teach-LA OBL English ABS child
      ‘I teach the children English.’
   c. os’o pai’un-i to tposx ’o mo’o
      TR-1S send-LA OBL book ABS PN
      ‘I send a book to Mo’o.’

One might find apparent counterexamples to this rule with verbs of creation. As in (45), the verb marked with the LA suffix puts the theme in the absolutive position.

(45) Tsou
    os’o tpos-i ’o tposx
    TR-1S write-LA ABS letter
    ‘I wrote the letter.’

Note, however, that the recipient is absent from (45). The sentence will be ungrammatical once the intended recipient is introduced as an oblique argument, as in (46).
To encode the receptive reading, my informants prefer to apply bi-clausal structure instead. Compare:

(47) Tsou
*os-’o tpos-i to paicx ’o tposx
TR-1S write-LA OBL PN ABS letter
ho f-a-eni to paicx
COMP give-TR-BA OBL PN
‘I wrote the letter to Paicx.’

Accordingly, I maintain my original observation that a recipient should be advanced to the absolutive position in an LA construction. Sentences like (45) are not true counter-examples to the observation, since they do not host a recipient at all. In other words, the observation is a one-way entailment: it states that an absolutive recipient must be indexed by the LA suffix but does not entail that an LA suffix cannot bear cross-reference to other thematic roles (if the recipient is absent).

4.2 The source

In English, a source argument can either surface as a prepositional complement or a direct object (if it is animate).

(48) a. The judge robbed money from John. (Larson 1988:375)
    b. John robbed Sue of her money. (Pesetsky 1995:150)

(49) Levin (1993:52)
    a. The thief stole the painting from the museum.
    b. *The thief stole the museum of the painting.

As in English, a source argument is eligible for alternative distribution in Tsou. Nonetheless, a Tsou source argument differs slightly from its English counterpart in that the former does not occur in the oblique but the latter does, as will be illustrated in §4.2.1. Besides, a source argument distinguishes itself from a recipient argument in its realization in intransitive constructions. Still, a source and a recipient converge in locative
applicative constructions—both can be present in the absolutive position and indexed by the LA suffix, as will be illustrated in §4.2.2.

4.2.1 In the genitive case

Unlike a recipient, a source may occur in the genitive case in an intransitive construction. Consider:

(50) Tsou
a. mi-ta m-eo’eo to f’ue to voyu
   INTR-3S INTR-steal OBL sweet.potato GEN PN
   ‘He stole sweet potatoes from Voyu.’ (lit. ‘He stole Voyu’s sweet-potatoes.’)
b. mi-ta ti’ingi to peisu to mameoi
   INTR-3S rob(INTR) OBL money GEN old.man
   ‘He robbed money from the old man.’ (lit. ‘He robbed the old man’s money.’)
c. mi-’o yuevaho to emoo to mo’o
   INTR-1S borrow(INTR) OBL house GEN PN
   ‘I rent Mo’o’s house.’

There is evidence that the case marker to in (50a-c) should occur as a genitive case marker rather than as an oblique case marker. In syntactic operation, the source argument moves along with the theme argument as a syntactic constituent, as illustrated in (51a-c), which are paraphrases to (50a-c) respectively.

(51) Tsou
a. i-ta peo’ez-a ’o f’ue to voyu
   TR-3S steal-TR ABS sweet.potato GEN PN
   ‘He stole Voyu’s sweet potatoes.’
b. i-ta ti’ing-a ’o peisu to mameoi
   TR-3S rob-TR ABS money GEN old.man
   ‘He robbed the old man’s money.’
c. os-’o yuevah-a ’o emoo to mo’o
   TR-1S borrow-TR ABS house GEN PN
   ‘I rent Mo’o’s house.’
This indicates that the source argument in question is in conjunction with the theme argument and parallel to a possessor. An oblique argument does not behave this way.

In addition, as mentioned in §2, an oblique argument normally does not surface in the sentence-final position in Tsou. However, the to-marked arguments in (51a-c) end up sentence-finally. The oblique analysis will leave these facts unexplained.

4.2.2 In the absolutive case

A source argument may be advanced to the absolutive position by the LA suffix -i, as shown below in (52a-b).

(52) Tsou
a. zou Ɂu-f'ue to voyu 'o i-ta peo’equo-i to
EMP RED-sweet.potato GEN PN ABS TR-3S steal-LA OBL 
  f'ue
  sweet.potato
  ‘Voyu’s sweet potato field is where he stole sweet potatoes.’
b. zou teova 'o os-'o ya-i to yungku
EMP hut ABS TR-1S take-LA OBL basket
  ‘The hut is the place from which I took a basket.’
c. os-'o tuocos-i 'o mo'o no te-'o hioa
TR-1S ask-LA ABS PN COMP IRR-1S work(TR)
  ‘I asked Mo’o about what I should do.’

Unlike a recipient, a source argument often surfaces as a nominal predicate, followed by a complex noun phrase which contains an unpronounced source argument that is co-indexed with the source predicate. The derivation is evidenced by (52c), where the source argument straightforwardly surfaces as the absolutive argument.

4.3 The goal

In a triadic construction, the goal argument denotes the destination to which a theme is moved or a message is conveyed by an agent. In English, a triadic goal normally occurs as a prepositional complement, as in (53a). For a few triadic verbs, the goal can be advanced to the direct object position if it is animate; a triadic goal is not eligible for the advancement if it is inanimate, as in (53b). For others, the goal consistently occurs only as an oblique argument; the DOC variant is simply not available, as in (54b).
(53) Pesetsky (1995:137)
   a. Mary kicked the ball to John/the field.
   b. Mary kicked John/*the field the ball.

(54) Pesetsky (1995:137)
   a. Mary pushed the boulder to John.
   b. *Mary pushed John the boulder.

A similar tendency is also attested in Tsou, as will become clear shortly.

4.3.1 In the oblique case

In Tsou, the most natural way for a triadic goal to occur as an oblique argument is to put it in the BA construction, where the transported theme occurs as the absolutive argument. As in (55a-b), the triadic goals ‘valley’ and ‘water’ are marked by the oblique case marker to and associated with the verbs marked with the BA suffix -neni.

(55) Tsou
   a. os-o to’s-eni to evoza ’o pucu
      TR-1S throw-BA OBL valley ABS garbage
      ‘I throw the garbage to a valley.’
   b. os-o tokx-neni to chumu ’o fatu
      TR-1S throw-BA OBL water ABS stone
      ‘I throw the stone to water.’

The observation also holds of a message-conveying verb like ‘tell’, although the conveyed message might not surface in the absolutive case. In (56a-b), the message surfaces as a clausal complement.

(56) Tsou
   a. os-o exsvxt-neni to amo ho te uh ne fuengu
      TR-1S tell-BA OBL father COMP IRR go LOC mountain
      ‘I told my father that I will go hunting on the mountain.’
   b. os-o exsvxt-a to mo’o ho te uh ne fuengu
      TR-1S tell-TR OBL PN COMP IRR go LOC mountain
      ‘I told Mo’o that I will go hunting on the mountain.’

Alternatively, the oblique goal argument can occur in a complement clause, following the matrix clause headed by a verb of caused movement, as in (57).
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(57) Tsou
   os-'o po’pot-i ’o mali ho poa-su’ to fofeova
   TR-1S kick-LA ABS ball COMP CAUS-fall OBL ditch
   ‘I kick the ball to a ditch.’

It is also possible to place a triadic goal in an intransitive oblique position, as shown in (58a-b), but examples like these are not common.

(58) Tsou
   a. mi-'o to’so to pucu (to evoza)
      INTR-1S throw(INTR) OBL garbage OBL valley
      ‘I throw garbage to a valley.’
   b. mi-'o m-tokx to fatu (to pethxta-he)
      INTR-1S INTR-throw OBL stone OBL window-3P.GEN
      ‘I throw a stone to their window.’

Note that like a recipient, a triadic goal must follow the transported theme in an intransitive sentence, as demonstrated above in (58a-b). The reverse word order will give rise to ungrammaticality. Compare:

(59) Tsou
   a. *mi-'o to’so to evoza to pucu
      INTR-1S throw(INTR) OBL valley OBL garbage
   b. *mi-'o m-tokx to pethxta-he to fatu
      INTR-1S INTR-throw OBL window-3P.GEN OBL stone

4.3.2 In the absolutive case

For message-conveying verbs, the triadic goal can be advanced to the absolutive position by the LA suffix -i. Consider:

(60) Tsou
   os-'o exsvxt-i ’o haah’o ho te uh ne fuengu
   TR-1S tell-LA ABS everybody COMP IRR go LOC mountain
   ‘I tell everybody that I will go hunting on the mountain.’

Meanwhile, it is not very common for a verb of caused movement to advance its goal to the absolutive position by an LA suffix. Sentence (61) is the only example I have in my field notes.
(61) Tsou
mi-’o boemi to mali ho tokx-i ’o pethxta-he
INTR-1S use(INTR) OBL ball COMP throw-LA ABS window-3P.GEN
‘I throw a ball to their window.’

4.4 The location

In a triadic construction, the location denotes the place where a theme is situated by an agent. In English, a triadic location is normally realized as a prepositional complement, as shown below.

(62) Levin (1993:111)
   a. I put the book on the table.
   b. *I put the table with the book.

Only for a small set of verbs (e.g. load-type verbs), a triadic location can be promoted to the direct object position, as in (63).

(63) Levin (1993:51)
   b. Jack sprayed the wall with paint.

The advancement of a triadic location is relatively more productive in Tsou, as will be demonstrated shortly.

4.4.1 In the oblique case

Like a triadic goal, an oblique location typically patterns with a(n) transitive/applicative verb in Tsou. As in (64a-b), the triadic locations ‘pole’ and ‘wall’ are marked by the oblique case marker to in the BA constructions.

(64) Tsou
   a. os-’o ngov’-eni to sxesx ’o yxsx-’u
      TR-1S hang-BA OBL pole ABS clothes-1S.GEN
      ‘I hang my clothes on the pole.’
   b. os-’o tope-eni to tonghifza ’o ongko-’u
      TR-1S post-BA OBL wall ABS photo-1S.GEN
      ‘I post my photo on a wall.’
In (65a-b), the obliquely marked locations co-occur with transitive verbs.

(65) Tsou
a. os-’o si-a to takubingi ’o naveu
   TR-1S put-TR OBL bowl ABS rice
   ‘I put the rice in a bowl.’

b. os-’o teih-a to sxesx ’o yxsx-’u
   TR-1S hang-TR OBL pole ABS clothes-1S.GEN
   ‘I hang my clothes on the pole.’

In contrast, the co-occurrence of an oblique location with a three-place predicate in an intransitive sentence is not natural, albeit possible. Compare:

(66) Tsou
a. ?mi-ta topco to ongko to taicini (to tonghifza)
   INTR-3S post(INTR) OBL photo GEN president OBL wall
   Intended for ‘He posts the president’s photo on the wall.’

b. ?mi-ta mo-si to tposx (to pangka)
   INTR-3S INTR-put OBL book OBL table
   Intended for ‘He puts a book on a table.’

4.4.2 In the absolutive case

In Tsou, the advancement of a triadic location is usually attested in equational constructions. As shown in (67), the location ‘the tree’ occurs as a nominal predicate, followed by a subject of complex noun phrase ‘the place where the bird rests’. The complex noun phrase is comprised of an unpronounced head noun (‘the place’ in the English translation) and a modifying relative clause (‘where the bird rests’ in the English translation). The head noun plays a pivotal role: it is predicated of the overt location on the one hand and co-referential with the null location in the absolutive position within the relative clause on the other.

(67) Tsou
a. ‘a evi ’o i-si tosv-i to zomx
   tree ABS TR-3S stop-LA ERG bird
   ‘The tree is where the bird rests.’

b. zou evi ’o os-’o teih-i to nia av’u
   EMP tree ABS TR-1S hang-LA OBL PST dog
   ‘The tree is where I hung a dead dog.’
It is occasionally observed that a triadic location is overtly realized as the absolutive argument, as in (68).

(68) Tsou
   a. os-’o si-i to tposx ’o pangka
      TR-1S put-LA OBL book ABS table
      ‘I put a book on the table.’
   b. os-’o tope-i to ongko-taini ’o tonghifza
      TR-1S post-LA OBL photo-3S.GEN ABS wall
      ‘I post his photo on the wall.’

5. The beneficiary and instrument

In this section, I illustrate how peripheral arguments such as beneficiary and instrument, are grammatically encoded in a triadic construction. Verbs that will be discussed include—but are not limited to—verbs of creation and acquisition.

5.1 The beneficiary

A beneficiary that is not semantically selected by the base verb can surface as a core argument (typically as a direct object) via applicativization. In a language that is rich in applicativization (e.g.Tukang Besi), the applied verb is morphologically marked by benefactive applicative morphology, as in (69b).

(69) Tukang Besi (Donohue 1999:231)
   a. no-ala te kau
      3.REALIS-fetch the wood
      ‘She fetched the wood.’
   b. no-ala-ako te ina-su te kau
      3.REALIS-fetch-APPL the mother-my the wood
      ‘She fetched the wood (as a favor) for my mother.’

In English, benefactive applicativization is achieved simply through word order change; there is no overt benefactive applicative morphology realized on the verb, as in (70) and (71).
Verbs of creation (Gropen 1989:204)

a. Tim built a house for Spot.
b. Tim built Spot a house.

Verbs of acquisition (Levin 1993:142)

a. Carmen bought a dress for Mary.
b. Carmen bought Mary a dress.

In this regard, Tsou behaves more like Tukang Besi—benefactive applicativization is morphologically marked on the verb. As in (72) and (73), the applied beneficiaries ‘my mother’, ‘my father’, ‘Pasyua’, and ‘Paicx’ are all indexed by the BA suffix -neni.

Tsou

a. os’o tpos-neni to tposx ’e ino’u
   TR-IS write-BA OBL letter ABS mother-IS.GEN
   ‘I wrote a letter for my mother.’
b. os’o teai-neni to emoo ’e amo’u
   TR-IS make-BA OBL house ABS father-IS.GEN
   ‘I built a house for my father.’

Verbs of acquisition in Tsou

a. i-ta phin-i-neni to simeo ’o pasuya
   TR-3S buy-LA-BA OBL pork ABS PN
   ‘He bought pork for Pasuya.’
b. os’o peo’eo-neni to peisu ’o paicx
   TR-IS steal(TR)-BA OBL money ABS PN
   ‘I steal money for Paicx.’

While the suffix -neni has various functions, its typical function is to index an applied beneficiary. As shown in the Appendix, there are 23 tokens out of a total of 48 neni-marked verbs that manifest benefactive applicative. This is the reason why I choose the benefactive function as the representative function of the suffix -neni and gloss it as the BA suffix.\(^\text{15}\)

\(^{15}\) Meanwhile, S. Huang (2005) observes that the BA suffix is mostly associated with a transported theme (TT) and argues that the multiple functions of the BA suffix originated from its TT-indexing function. I do not adopt S. Huang’s view for both conceptual and grammatical reasons. Conceptually, TT is not a peripheral argument and hence unlikely to serve as the starting point for deriving applicative functions, which typically introduce peripheral arguments. Grammatically, BA verbs that index TT might be morphologically more complex than those
However, note that, unlike Tukang Besi and English, a beneficiary normally surfaces as an applied argument in Tsou; an oblique beneficiary is very rare, albeit possible. This leads Huang & Huang (2007) to a strong claim that a beneficiary does not occur as an oblique argument in Tsou. In my field notes, I found only one example of oblique beneficiary, as shown below.

(74) Tsou

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>os-’o</th>
<th>peo’ez-neni</th>
<th>to</th>
<th>paix</th>
<th>’o</th>
<th>peisu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TR-1S</td>
<td>steal(TR)-BA</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>PN</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>money</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘I steal the money for Paix.’

5.2 The instrument

In English, a triadic instrument is typically realized as a prepositional complement, as in (75).

(75) Larson (1988:372)

a. I cut the salami with a knife.

b. *I cut a knife the salami.

Only for hit-type verbs, a triadic instrument can be advanced to the direct object position, as in (76).

(76) Levin (1993:67)

a. Brian hit the fence with the stick.

b. Brian hit the stick against the fence.

The scenario seems to be the other way around in Tsou—a triadic instrument normally occurs as a core argument in Tsou, as noted in Huang & Huang (2007). Compare:

(77) Tsou

a. os-’o fut-neni | to | tupzu | ’o | teisi |
| TR-1S | tie.up-BA | OBL | wood | ABS | rope |

‘I tied up wood with the rope.’

indexing peripheral arguments; witness, for instance, f-a-eni ‘give’ (as in (24a)) vs. si-eni ‘put’ (as in (21a)). This suggests that the TT-indexing function is more marked than its applicative counterparts and thus unlikely to serve as the derivational basis.
b. os-’o pahisi-neni ta pangka si yxsx-’u
   TR-1S wipe-BA OBL table ABS clothes-1S.GEN
   ‘I wiped the table with my clothes.’

For a few verbs, the BA suffix -neni either cross-refers to a beneficiary or an instrument, depending on the event intended. Compare:

(78) Tsou
a. os-’o teoc-neni to evi ’o mameoi (Beneficiary)
   TR-1S chop-BA OBL tree ABS old.man
   ‘I chopped a tree for the old man.’

b. os-’o teoc-neni to evi ’o pexcngx (Instrument)
   TR-1S chop-BA OBL tree ABS axe
   ‘I chopped a tree with the axe.’

(79) Tsou
a. os-’o tpos-neni to tposx ’e ino’u (Beneficiary)
   TR-1S write-BA OBL letter ABS mother-1S.GEN
   ‘I wrote a letter for my mother.’

b. os-’o tpos-neni to tposx ’o ’empicu (Instrument)
   TR-1S write-BA OBL letter ABS pencil
   ‘I wrote a letter with the pencil.’

Despite being rare, an oblique instrument is by no means impossible in Tsou, as in

(80) The oblique instrument in Tsou
   cuma na te-ko papas-a ta f’uf’u
   what ABS IRR-2S cut-TR OBL knife
   ‘What are you going to cut with a knife?’

6. Generalizations and implications

6.1 Summary

In terms of verb marking and case marking, the grammatical coding of three-participant events in Tsou can be summarized in Table 2.
Table 2: The triadic encoding in Tsou

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb marking</th>
<th>INTR</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>BA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case marking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>ERG</td>
<td>ERG</td>
<td>ERG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>ABS/OBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Message</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>ho-clause/ABS</td>
<td>OBL/ABS</td>
<td>ho-clause/OBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient</td>
<td>(OBL)</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>OBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>GEN</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>GEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>OBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>(OBL)</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>OBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beneficiary</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ABS/(OBL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>ABS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A number of important generalizations and implications follow from the summary, as will be discussed shortly.

6.2 Generalizations and general tendencies

6.2.1 Generalizations

At first glance, Table 2 looks messy. As Huang & Huang (2007) point out, argument realization in Tsou is to a certain extent lexically specified. Idiosyncrasies are found from time to time. Verbs of caused movement are a case in point. For some of them, the BA marking is intended for an absolutive beneficiary, as in (81).

(81) Tsou
i-si cih-neni to pucu ’o ino-si
TR-3S throw.away-BA OBL garbage ABS mother-3S.GEN
‘He threw away garbage for his mother.’

However, for others, the very same marking designates the transported theme as the absolutive argument, as in (82).

(82) Tsou
os-’o to’s-eni to evoza ’o pucu
TR-1S throw-BA OBL valley ABS garbage
‘I throw the garbage to a valley.’

However, a closer inspection still indicates that a number of important generalizations can be induced from Table 2.
Generalization I: Agent vs. the others

A triadic agent surfaces in the absolutive case only in an intransitive clause, whereas other triadic arguments occur in the absolutive case only in transitive/applicative constructions.

This generalization echoes what has been referred to as the AF-NAF asymmetries in Formosan literature (Chang 1997). It can be captured in a generalized approach to grammatical relations—it can be translated into an S-O contrast in Dixon’s (1994) framework or as an Actor-Undergoer distinction in Van Valin’s (1999) theory. The former approach is adopted by S. Huang (2005) for several Formosan languages and the latter by Wu (2007) for Amis.

Generalization II: Ergative vs. Absolutive

A triadic agent surfaces in the ergative case in transitive/applicative constructions but in the absolutive case in intransitive sentences.

This suggests that Tsou is an ergative language—the transitive/applicative agent is in the A function and thus in the ergative case, as opposed to the intransitive agent’s realization in the S function and hence in the absolutive case. It is also noted that Generalization I and II both point to the fact that a triadic agent consistently serves as a core argument in Tsou. Unlike other thematic roles, a triadic agent does not occur in the oblique case in Tsou. It follows that passivization is not attested in the language.

Generalization III: Theme/Message-only restriction

In simple transitive triadic constructions, only the transported theme/conveyed message can surface in the absolutive case.

If we look down the third column in Table 2, we find this peculiar restriction. Note that this is simply a one-way entailment. It says that if a triadic verb is marked with the transitive suffix, it must advance the transported theme/conveyed message rather than other thematic roles, as illustrated above in §3.2.2.1. But it does not say that a triadic theme/message must be advanced by the transitive suffix. Actually, as shown in row three, an absolutive transported theme/conveyed message can be either indexed by a transitive suffix or a BA suffix.

Generalization IV: LA-only restriction

A Location-related triadic argument surfaces in the absolutive case in an LA construction but in the oblique elsewhere.
This is quite straightforward, given the name Locative Applicative. Nonetheless, it should be noted that this generalization does not entail that an LA suffix indexes only location-related thematic roles. As suggested in footnote 10, repeated below as (83a), an LA suffix can be attached to a movement verb and advance its theme. The same observation also holds true of the creation verb ‘to write’, as illustrated below in (83b).

(83) Tsou
a. os-’o po’pot-i ’o mali
   TR-1S kick-LA ABS ball
   ‘I kick the ball.’

b. i-ta tpos-i ’o tposx
   TR-3S write-LA ABS letter
   ‘He wrote the letter.’

Importantly, location-related triadic arguments are missing in (83a-b). Note that the goal and recipient which are logically compatible with the movement verb ‘to kick’ and the creation verb ‘to write’ are not present in (83a-b). This suggests that the special grammatical patterns in question are not true triadic constructions; instead, they are dyadic, involving only an agent and a theme, but without invoking a goal or a recipient. In these special constructions, the occurrence of a goal/recipient will lead to ungrammaticality, as in (84). Compare:

(84) Tsou
a. *os-’o po’pot-i to fofeova ’o mali
   TR-1S kick-LA OBL ditch ABS ball
   Intended for ‘I kick the ball to a ditch.’

b. *os-’o tpos-i to paicx ’o tposx
   TR-1S write-LA OBL PN ABS letter
   Intended for ‘I wrote the letter to Paicx.’

Generalization V: BA-only restriction
A(n) benefactive/instrumental absolutive must be indexed by the BA suffix.

This is not surprising either, as the name Benefactive Applicative suggests. What is unusual is that a beneficiary does not occur in the oblique case in constructions other than the BA. Meanwhile, it should be pointed out that this generalization is also a one-way entailment. It does not assert that a BA suffix promotes only a(n) beneficiary/instrument. Actually, as Figure 1 suggests, a BA suffix can advance a transported theme
in certain constructions, notably the constructions involving transfer of possession. I also came across a verb that displays symmetrical object shift—either the applied object or the base object is eligible for advancement in a BA construction, as illustrated below.

(85) Tsou
a. os-'o peo’ez-neni to peisu ’o paicx (Beneficiary)
   TR-1S steal(TR)-BA OBL money ABS PN
   ‘I steal money for Paicx.’

b. os-'o peo’ez-neni to paicx ’o peisu (Transported theme)
   TR-1S steal(TR)-BA OBL PN ABS money
   ‘I steal the money for Paicx.’

Generalization VI: Genitive source
A triadic source surfaces in the absolutive case in LA constructions but in the genitive case elsewhere.

This differentiates a source argument from other location-related thematic roles. As indicated in Table 2, other location-related thematic roles (i.e. recipient, goal, and location) occur in the oblique rather than the genitive case in non-LA constructions. In the genitive case, a triadic source forms a constituent with the possessee. In that case, the construction will look like a dyadic rather than a triadic construction.

Generalization VII: Ditransitive/DOC-less
Ditransitive/DOC is not attested in Tsou.

This is self-evident for intransitive triadic constructions, given that among the three triadic arguments, only the agent occurs as a core argument, with the other two in the oblique case. For transitive and applicative constructions, there are only two core arguments: the agent in the ergative case and the direct/applied object in the absolutive case; there is no third core argument. Take the triadic verb ‘give’ for example. In the LA form, its triadic encoding looks like Figure 2; in the BA, its triadic encoding reads like Figure 3. In either case, one of its three triadic arguments must be in the oblique case.
In addition to these generalizations, there are also a few general tendencies that follow from the preceding sections, as will be discussed shortly.

### 6.2.2 General tendencies

In §6.2.1, I have generalized seven rules based on Table 2. In this section, I report a few observations that indicate general tendencies but not rules concerning the triadic encoding in Tsou.

**Tendency 1: One oblique per clause**

A triadic construction tends to host one and only one oblique argument.

For the informants I consulted, the best way to encode a triadic event in Tsou is to apply either transitive or applicative devices, in a manner analogous to what had been depicted in Figures 2 and 3. In a(n) transitive/applicative construction, the external argument will be in the ergative case, with one of the two internal arguments advanced to the absolutive position and the other left in the oblique case. The realization of both internal arguments in the oblique case is extremely rare.
Tendency II: BA-TR division of labor

For a triadic verb that can bear both BA suffix and TR suffix, it will index an absolutive beneficiary/instrument in its BA form and an absolutive theme/message in its TR form.

I have shown in §3.2.2.1 and §3.2.2.2 that a triadic verb which takes the BA suffix and advances the transported theme/conveyed message normally does not bear the TR suffix. Recall, for example, the morphological gap observed with the verb ‘to give’: mofi/*fia/fii/faeni. On the other hand, a triadic verb that bears both BA suffix and TR suffix divides the labor between the two suffixes (e.g., sia/sieni ‘to put’). The only exception to my knowledge comes from the verb for ‘to steal’, as already illustrated in (85).

6.3 Implications

The above generalizations and observations yield a number of important typological and theoretical implications, as will be explored in the next two sections.

6.3.1 Typological implications

Tsou as an ergative language: Generalizations I and II confirm that Tsou should be identified as an ergative language (H. Chang 2011). It is evident that in Tsou, transitive agents are in the A function and marked differently from intransitive agents (S) and transitive/applicative objects (O)—the former surfaces in the ergative case but the latter in the absolutive case. This explains the consistent differences between Tsou and English triadic constructions: triadic objects (including theme, recipient, source, goal, location, beneficiary, and instrument) end up in the absolutive position in Tsou, whereas their English counterparts remain as direct objects.16

Tsou as an applicative-prominent language: Applicative devices are very productive and pervasive in Tsou. Triadic events are typically expressed by applicative constructions. Peripheral arguments such as a beneficiary are almost always introduced by an applicative affix; even a triadic theme can be indexed by applicative morphology in Tsou.

Tsou as a language without DOC: Generalization VII clearly indicates that DOC is not attested in Tsou. The two internal arguments of a triadic verb never appear as core arguments at a time. In this regard, Tsou parallels many Oceanic languages (Margetts

16 In H. Chang (2011), I point out that the landing site of the absolutive argument must be higher above vP in Tsou, but I do not pinpoint its exact position. I leave this for future study.
2007) but contrasts with Mayrinax Atayal (L. Huang 1995), Saisiyat (Hsieh & Huang 2006), Bajau (Donohue 1996), and Tukang Besi (Donohue 1999).

**Tsou as an asymmetrical object language:** So far, I came across only one Tsou example in which both the applied object and the base object are eligible for advancement in a triadic construction. This example aside, Tsou is arguably an asymmetrical object language (Bresnan & Moshi 1990, Donohue 1996, among others). In this respect, Tsou behaves like Chichewa rather than Kichaga or Bajau.

### 6.3.2 Theoretical implications

**Triadic as applicative:** In the generative literature, a triadic construction is either analyzed as a causative structure (Pesetsky 1995, Harley 2002) or an applicative structure (Marantz 1993, Pylkkänen 2002). The regular and robust applicative coding of three-participant events in Tsou is in favor of the Marantzian approach.

**Non-transformational:** In generative tradition, a DOC is generally taken as deriving from its syntactically synonymous dative construction by means of dative shift. Larson (1988, 1990) best represents this transformational view. Larson (1988, 1990) claims that triadic arguments are built into the phrase structure according to a universal thematic hierarchy along the projection principle of agent > theme > goal > oblique; i.e. an argument that is higher on the hierarchy is projected to a higher structural position than an argument that is lower on the hierarchy. Accordingly, a triadic theme is mapped into a position higher than a triadic goal in the underlying structure, a structure embodied by dative constructions. Hence, dative constructions are postulated as the underlying structures from which DOCs are transformationally derived. However, this transformational approach faces tough challenges recently (Jackendoff 1990, Marantz 1993, Pesetsky 1995, Pylkkänen 2002, Harley 2002, among others). Marantz (1993) argues against the transformational approach and the universal projection principle. He contends that the realization of a triadic argument is determined by its role in the event rather than by a fixed universal projection principle. In his view, a DOC has a structure distinct from its dative counterpart. In a DOC, the goal is more affected and therefore projected to a higher position than the theme. On the other hand, in a dative construction, the argument realization is the other way around—the theme is more affected and thus mapped to a higher position than the goal. Despite there being a lack of DOC, as mentioned above, Tsou has a structural analogue of DOC, namely, the LA construction.

In the meantime, Tsou also has a structure type which is analogous to English dative construction, i.e. the BA construction. Most importantly, the LA and the BA constructions

---

17 Dryer (1986) takes a dissenting view and argues for the opposite direction of derivation—a dative construction is derived from a DOC by antidative operation.
are arguably of distinct structure type, as implied in the preceding sections.\textsuperscript{18} This would favor Marantz’s non-transformational analysis over Larson’s transformational approach.

7. Concluding remarks

I have described in detail the grammatical realizations of triadic arguments in Tsou and discussed the implications that follow. It is now evident that triadic encoding in Tsou differs significantly from familiar languages like English and from other languages of the Austronesian family such as Mayrinax Atayal, Saisiyat, Bajau, and Tukang Besi. Hopefully, this survey has enhanced our understanding of the triadic grammar in Tsou and has provided useful information for pinpointing Tsou’s position in triadic typology and theory.

Due to space and time limitations, I leave a few important questions open for further investigation, including (i) grammatical/semantic conditions for the functional split of the BA suffix; (ii) derivation of the absolutive theme in a BA construction; (iii) hierarchical structures of triadic constructions; and (iv) syntactic position of the absolutive argument. These issues go beyond the scope of the present paper and deserve at least another research paper.

\textsuperscript{18} This receives further support from M. Chang’s (2004) segregation of the BA construction from the LA construction. Following Pylkkänen (2002), M. Chang (2004) identifies the BA construction as a high applicative and the LA construction as a low applicative. A similar dichotomy is also made in Chen (2007) for Squliq Atayal.
**Appendix:**

**The suffix -**neni** and its absolutive argument in Tsou**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The absolutive arguments</th>
<th>BA verb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral argument</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BEN=beneﬁciary, INST=instrument, TT=transported theme
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鄒語三元述語的論元體現

張永利
中央研究院

本文根據 Levin (1993) 的及物和雙及物變換句型來探討鄒語三元述語的論元體現，主要的研究發現如下：

(1) 鄒語沒有雙賓結構——三個論元其中有一個必須以斜格方式出現；
(2) 鄒語為一個活用結構發達的語言——三元述語通常出現在活用結構，邊緣性論元如受惠者及工具通常以活用結構來引介，甚至連三元述語的客體也是採活用標記；
(3) 鄒語為作格語言——及物句的賓語和不及物句的主語的格位標記相同；
(4) 鄒語基本上是一個不對稱賓語的語言——兩個域內論元只有其中一個可以提升；
(5) 在三元述語結構裡，來源論元如果沒有提升則必須以屬格方式出現，而非以斜格方式出現。

關鍵詞：三元述語，雙及物，活用結構發達語言，作格語言，不對稱賓語語言