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This paper attempts to uncover some covert grammatical categories in Taiwanese Southern Min (TSM). These covert categories can be motivated in terms of specific types of construction. Some verbs are underspecified semantically; pinning down their specific and subtler meanings hinges on a range of constructions in which they occur. We also explore some more covert categories such as dynamicity and volitionality in other similarly underspecified verbs, and the semantic subtleties of some representative TSM words.
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1. Introduction

During its historical development, Chinese (including, of course, Taiwanese Southern Min) underwent a typological shift from synthetic to analytic.¹ Compare, for example, the paradigmatic coding in the group of verbs *xi3 洗 ‘wash (foot)*, *guan4 盡 ‘wash (hand)*, *mu4 沐 ‘wash (head)* and *yu4 浴 ‘wash (body)* in Old Chinese with the syntagmatic encoding in the modern Chinese general-purpose verb *xi3 洗 ‘wash*. Word-internal information in the former set of verbs sharing a common semantic domain imposes a selectional restriction on the kinds of objects the verbs can take. Such a

---

¹ The research reported in this paper is partially supported by NSC 90-2411-H-007-32. I am indebted to Lili Chang, Million Chung, Hanchun Huang, Violet Liu, Yiching Wu, Kimi Yeh, and Sylvia Yu for their timely help in collecting relevant data for me as well as for their stimulating feedback. The paper was presented at the first Cognitive Linguistics Conference, National Chengchi University, January 12-13, 2001. Thanks are also due to Imogen Chen, One-sun Ho, Yiyan Li, Fuhsing Su, James H. Tai, Tingchi Tang, Dylan Tsai, and Jungsung Yao, as well as to the two anonymous reviewers, for their valuable comments.

¹ See Lien (1999) for an exploration of the typological development of Chinese with respect to causatives in Taiwanese Southern Min.
restriction is no longer present in the modern single verb with semantic underspecification.\(^2\) In other words, many semantic features, formerly coded lexically and extractable from lexemes, have been released and are deployed to construction types; these are of immense theoretical interest requiring detailed exploration.

Overt categories in a linguistic system are marked in every sentence in which each member of the semantic category is involved. Plurality is a good example of overtly marked semantic category in English, but not Chinese. By contrast, covert categories are not marked except in some special constructions. Nouns in modern Chinese are grouped into a range of classes (or rather genders) in a broad sense in terms of semantic properties each class shares, and the semantic feature is not overtly marked on nouns; but whenever the noun in question is quantified by a numeral, it will be marked by a special classifier. Thus, gender distinction in a broad sense can be regarded as involving a range of covert categories in modern Chinese.\(^3\)

In this paper we shall mainly center our attention on the two related senses, viz., ‘raise’ and ‘feed’, of the lexeme chhi\(^1\)飼 in Taiwanese Southern Min (henceforth TSM),\(^4\) and try to tease out the covert categories by which the distinction between them can be made. We shall also deal with other underspecified verbs regarding their covert properties.

Apart from the introduction and concluding remarks, the bulk of this paper consists of five sections: (2) the distinction between chhi\(^1\)飼 ‘raise’ and chhi\(^2\)飼 ‘feed’; (3) underspecified verbs ching\(^1\)種, khng\(^1\)囥, chhah\(^4\)插, and toe\(^2\)貯; (4) too\(^3\)譗, tiam\(^3\)/tam\(^3\)店*, ti\(^7\)佇 and khia\(^7\)箂; (5) the chameleon 鯗; and (6) unaccusative verbs vs. unergative verbs.

---

\(^2\) Underspecification is used in lieu of ambiguity in this paper when there is a situation in which the specification of some semantic features in a verb will be deferred until it enters a syntactic frame. For example, the verb khng\(^1\)囥 by itself is non-committal as to the semantic feature of dynamicity. The term ambiguity is reserved for cases where a sentence can be given more than one interpretation, but its meaning may not be underspecified.

\(^3\) Whorf (1945, 1956) establishes the covert category as an important notion in research. Cf. his (1945) insightful definition: “A covert category is marked, whether morphologically or by sentence-pattern, only in certain types of sentence and not in every sentence in which a word or element belonging to the category occurs.” See Lee (1996) for an overview of Whorf’s linguistic theory and its impact. See also Fillmore (1968), for example, for shedding new light on the exploration of covert categories, as well as Chao (1968:9) and Ma (1992, 1998), among others.

\(^4\) The spelling of TSM words is mainly based on the Church romanization found in Douglas (1873), with the following modifications: the use of postposed, superscript numerals in place of tone diacritics; of ch- consistently for [ts-]; and of oo for [ɔ] (“open o”).
2. The distinction between \textit{chhi}\textsuperscript{7}\ 飼\textsuperscript{0} ‘raise’ and \textit{chhi}\textsuperscript{7}\ 飼\textsuperscript{2} ‘feed’

In oversimplified terms, the word \textit{chhi}\textsuperscript{7} 飼 in TSM corresponds to Mandarin \textit{yang}\textsuperscript{3} 養 ‘raise, grow, keep’ and \textit{wei\textsuperscript{4} 飼 ‘feed’. Grammatical categories can be coded in various ways, whether lexically, morphologically, or syntactically. The semantic distinction between ‘raise, keep, grow’ and ‘feed’ is coded lexically in Mandarin and English, but syntactically in TSM. \textit{Chhi}\textsuperscript{7} 飼 is, therefore, a polysemous word, and its disambiguation depends upon the given construction in which it occurs. Polysemy means that a lexeme is associated with at least two senses that are intimately or remotely related. Thus, in a sense, the two senses are thought of as being related in TSM, as they share a lexeme in common.\textsuperscript{5}

One can gradually form a picture of how \textit{chhi}\textsuperscript{7}\ 飼\textsuperscript{1} ‘raise’ and \textit{chhi}\textsuperscript{7}\ 飼\textsuperscript{2} ‘feed’ differ by exploring the following seven points.

2.1 Existential construction

When occurring in an existential sentence, \textit{chhi}\textsuperscript{7} 飼 is interpreted as \textit{chhi}\textsuperscript{7}\ 飼\textsuperscript{0} ‘raise’, not \textit{chhi}\textsuperscript{7}\ 飼\textsuperscript{2} ‘feed’, as in:\textsuperscript{6}

(1) Pi\textsuperscript{5-a}\textsuperscript{2} chhi\textsuperscript{7} chiok\textsuperscript{4} choe\textsuperscript{7} chhau\textsuperscript{2} hi\textsuperscript{5} 陂仔飼足濟草魚
‘Lots of grass carp were kept in the reservoir’
(2) In\textsuperscript{1} tau\textsuperscript{1} u\textsuperscript{7} chhi\textsuperscript{7} kau\textsuperscript{2} 因*兜有飼狗
‘They keep a dog/dogs’

The existential construction features the structural template of Locative Phrase + Verb + Nominal Phrase, and induces the static meaning of \textit{chhi}\textsuperscript{7}\ 飼\textsuperscript{0} ‘raise’ rather than its activity sense.

---

\textsuperscript{5} As insightfully pointed out in Nida & Taber (1972), more often than not, there is a far greater distance between related senses of a lexeme than between synonyms.

\textsuperscript{6} The examples in this paper are given in consultation with a number of sources including Chen (1991), Douglas (1873), Embree (1984), Hu (1994), Murakami (1981), Ogawa (1931-32), Tung (2001), and Zhou (1998).

\textsuperscript{7} A character marked with an asterisk is a phonetic loan for a syllable of unknown etymology. I have, however, occasionally opted for demotic (rather than etymologically viable) characters without an asterisk, in the interest of intelligibility. For example, 佇 instead of 著 is adopted for the locative marker \textit{ti\textsuperscript{7}} (< \textit{tih}). See Mei and Yang (1995) for the establishment of 著 as the etymon for the marker in question.
2.2 Argument structure and semantic roles

In terms of argument structure and semantic roles, "raise" is a two-place predicate, whereas "feed" is a three-place predicate. The argument structure of each of them and its semantic roles can be schematized respectively as follows:

(3) \( chhi^7 \, \text{飼}^0 \, \text{‘raise, support, keep’} \, \text{chhi}^7 \, (X_{\text{agent}}, Y_{\text{goal}}) \)

(4) \( chhi^7 \, \text{飼}^0 \, \text{‘feed’} \, (X_{\text{agent}}, Y_{\text{goal}}, Z_{\text{theme}}) \)

The predicate "飼" in (3) takes two arguments: X and Y, which bear the role of agent and goal respectively, as in:

(5) Tan\(^5\) thai\(^3\) thai\(^3\) chhi\(^7\) chio\(^4\) choe\(^7\) niau\(^1\) 陳太太飼足濟貓

‘Mrs. Chen keeps lots of cats’

The predicate "飼" in (4) takes three arguments: X, Y, and Z, which assume the role of agent, goal, and theme respectively, as in:

(6) U\(^7\) chit\(^8\) pien\(^7\) goa\(^2\) chhi\(^7\) li\(^2\) bu\(^2\) ling\(^1\) liau\(^2\), koh\(^4\) chhi\(^7\) li\(^2\) gu\(^5\) ling\(^1\)

有一次我飼你母奶了，擱飼你牛奶
‘Once I breast-fed and then fed you with milk’

Of course, due to information packaging, it is not necessary for both \( Y_{\text{goal}} \) and \( Z_{\text{theme}} \) to co-occur.

2.3 Divisibility vs. indivisibility

The time scale can be divided into subsets for "feed", but not for "raise", as in:

(7) A\(^1\)-Lan\(^5\) mui\(^2\) sa\(^n1\) tiam\(^2\) ching\(^1\) ka\(^7\) i\(^n1\)-a\(^2\) chhi\(^7\) chit\(^8\) pai\(^2\) gu\(^5\)-ling\(^1\)

阿蘭每日三點鐘共嬰仔飼粥擺牛奶
‘Mary fed the baby with milk every three hours’

---

8 Here are some more examples: "飼" kau\(^1\) ‘keep a dog’, "飼" kia\(^n2\) ‘raise a son/child/children’, and "飼" cha\(^n2\)-boo\(^1\) ‘keep a mistress’.

9 More examples of this type: "飼" be\(^1\) ‘feed congee’, "飼" ah\(^n1\)-a\(^2\) ‘飼鴨仔’ ‘feed the ducks’, and "飼" nng\(^7\) sa\(^l\)-chhu\(^1\) ‘飼兩三喉’ ‘feed two or three mouthfuls’.
The distinction between count and mass in nouns seems to be extendable to capture the distinction between \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘feed’ and \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘raise’.\footnote{It is tempting to think that \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘raise’, as an individual-level predicate, denotes habit; but (7) expressing repeated acts cannot have an interpretation of raising. So that temptation has to be resisted.} The event denoted by the first is discrete and can be cut up into several subparts, whereas the event denoted by the latter can only be taken as a whole and there is no internal structure. One cannot use \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘raise’ to denote an event that takes place at a point of time. In (8), with a point-of-time adverb, the verb in question can only be construed as meaning ‘feed’:

(8) Soat\textsuperscript{d-a} chai\textsuperscript{2-khi} si\textsuperscript{5} kau\textsuperscript{2} tiam\textsuperscript{2} ka\textsuperscript{7} i\textsuperscript{1-a} chhi\textsuperscript{7} gu\textsuperscript{2-ling}\textsuperscript{1}  
雲仔早起時九點共嬰仔飼牛奶  
‘Laura fed the baby with milk at 9 a.m.’

For \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘feed’ every subpart of feeding is still feeding, whereas for \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘raise’ we cannot say that every subpart of raising constitutes raising.

\textbf{2.4 Time span}

In terms of time span the time needed for the event denoted by \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘feed’ is shorter than that needed for \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘raise’, as there is a much shorter period of time needed for the whole process of eating. That is why we claim that \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘feed’ is a stage-level predicate, whereas \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘raise’ is an individual-level predicate.\footnote{Unlike a stage-level predicate, which refers to a situation of transient nature, an individual-level predicate denotes a permanent state and the state of affairs involved is not subject to change (Kratzer 1995).} It should be noted that each of them can be atelic, since both can occur with durative time expressions, as in:

(9) A\textsuperscript{1} Hiong\textsuperscript{1-a} chhi\textsuperscript{7} po\textsuperscript{a3} tiam\textsuperscript{2} ching\textsuperscript{1}  
阿香仔飼嬰仔飼半點鐘  
‘Jean fed the baby for half an hour’

(10) In\textsuperscript{1} chhi\textsuperscript{7} kau\textsuperscript{2} chhi\textsuperscript{7} sa\textsuperscript{11} ni\textsuperscript{5} a  
因*飼狗飼三年矣  
‘They have kept the dog for three years now’

But unlike \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘raise’, \textit{chhi7 飼} ‘feed’ can also be used in a telic situation, as in:
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(11) Kau² a² chhi⁷ oan³/ho² a
狗仔餵完/好矣
‘The dog has been fed’

2.5 Generic and specific reading

Chhi⁷饲 ‘raise’ tends to occur in a generic sentence in which both the noun phrase and verb are generic and do not anchor in a specific place or temporal locus. For example, chhi⁷饲 in a sentence like lek⁴-a² e⁷-hng³ goo³ tiam² ti⁷ lau⁵-kha¹ ti⁷ leh⁴ chhi⁷ kau²益仔下昏五點佇樓骹佇咧飼狗 with a specific spatial and temporal locus does not have a generic reading. It must be given a specific interpretation ‘feed’, as attested in the proper rendition that ‘Bob is feeding the dog/dogs downstairs at 5 p.m.’

The word chhi⁷饲 as used in proverbs denoting generic facts is always interpreted as ‘raise’, as in:12

(12) Chhi⁷ niau¹-chhi² ka⁷ poo³-te⁷饲貓鼠，咬布袋13
‘The rats one kept destroyed the cloth bag’
(13) Chhi⁷ kau² ia⁷ e⁷ io⁵ be²饲狗也會搖尾14
‘Even a dog will wag its tail on seeing its master’
(14) Chhi⁷ cha¹-bo⁰-kia⁵-chiah⁸ liau² bi²饲某囝食了米
‘One always comes to grief on account of raising one’s daughter’
(15) Chhi⁷ kia⁵ khah⁴ kin²，chhi⁷ pe⁵ khah⁴ oh⁴饲爸較惡
‘It is easier to raise one’s children than take care of one’s parents’

2.6 Aspeccual difference

Chhi⁷饲 ‘feed’, a verb denoting activity, can reach a culmination, as in:

(16) Be⁵ chhi⁷ liau³-a糜饲了·矣
‘One finished feeding (the baby) with the congee’
(17) Gin²-a² chhi⁷ pa²-a囡仔餵飽·矣
‘The baby was full from feeding’

Thus, depending on the context chhi⁷饲 ‘feed’ can be used to denote activity or accomplishment in the sense of Vendler (1967). By contrast, no culmination of the event denoted by chhi⁷饲 ‘raise’ is involved; nevertheless, the event can be terminated, as in:

12 The following proverbs are taken from Hsu (1998:625-627).
13 This proverb is usually a comment on an ungrateful act.
14 It means that an ingrate is even inferior to dogs.
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(18) Gin2-a2 chhi7 toa7-han3 tioh8 li5 kha1-chhiu2 囉仔飼大漢, 著離屣手
‘One can be free of one’s burden when the child grows up’
(19) Gin2-a2 koh4 chhi7 san1 ni5 tioh4 toa7-han7 a 囉仔飼三年著大漢矣
‘Three more years and the kid will grow up’

2.7 Basic vs. extended sense

Chhi7飼飼 ‘feed’ is a bona fide verb of feeding, whereas chhi7飼飼 ‘to feed’ has to do with support or providing care, that is, attend to what the charge needs. As shown in the following table, chhi7飼飼 ‘feed’ and chhi7飼飼 ‘raise’ in TSM correspond to wei4餵 和 yang3養 in Mandarin respectively:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TSM</th>
<th>Mandarin</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>飼奶</td>
<td>餵奶</td>
<td>feed (sb.) with milk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>飼狗</td>
<td>餵狗</td>
<td>keep a dog/dogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>飼蘭花</td>
<td>餵蘭花</td>
<td>grow orchid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>生一個女兒</td>
<td>餵了一個女兒</td>
<td>give birth to a daughter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a one-to-many relationship between sememes and lexemes (Lamb 1964). For example, the lexeme chhi7飼 corresponds to two sememes: sense 1 ‘to feed’ 飼飼 and sense 2 ‘to keep’ 飼飼 in TSM, whereas yang3養 corresponds at least three sememes; (2) ‘raise’, (3) ‘grow’ and (4) ‘give birth to’ in Mandarin. There is a distinction between 飼飼 in TSM and yang3養 in Mandarin with respect to the selectional restriction imposed on the object. The object of 飼飼 has to be animate, whether human or animal (as in chhi7 kia1飼囝 ‘raise a son’ and chhi7 niau1飼貓 ‘keep a cat’), but chhi7 lan5

---

15 飼, with departing tone, originally meaning ‘cause to eat’, is a causative form of 食 ‘eat’, an entering tone word; cf. Wang et al. 2000:1660. According to Mei (1989), the causative form in question is derived by attaching the causative prefix *s- to the root 食. Lien (1999) also touches on the tonal alternation as one of causative morphological processes. It is obvious that the meaning of ‘raise’ as witnessed in TSM is an extension of its original causative sense. TSM is more conservative than Mandarin in this regard, since (except for some frozen expressions like si4-yang4飼養 ‘raise’, si4-liau4飼料 ‘fodder, feed’, etc.) si4飼 has been replaced by wei4餵 in Mandarin.

16 Chhi7 lan5-hoe1飼蘭花 is acceptable to some native speakers, especially to horticulturists.

17 This sense seems to be absent in Taiwanized Mandarin.
hoe¹ 飼蘭花 ‘grow orchid’ is unacceptable.\(^{18}\) By contrast, yang¹ 養 in Mandarin does not have such a constraint, since the object noun can denote an animal or a plant.

To summarize, though \(chhi^\text{1}\) 飼\(^\text{1}\) and \(chhi^\text{1}\) 飼\(^\text{2}\) do not differ in phonological shape, their distinction is, on close examination revealed most explicitly in terms of a range of covert categories involved. The theoretical framework as established here seems to be extendable to other similar cases, as revealed in such polysemous words as \(chhoa^\text{2}\) 撈 ‘to lead, supervise’ (as in \(chhoa^\text{2}\ i^\text{1} i^\text{3} khoa^\text{5} i^\text{3}\ i^\text{2} khoa^\text{5}\ i^\text{3}\ 撈伊去看影戲 ‘take him/her to the movie’ and \(chhoa^\text{2}\ gien^\text{2} - kiu^\text{2} - sing^\text{3}\ 撈研究生 ‘supervise graduate students’) and \(te^\text{3}\ 綴 ‘to follow, to have an affair with’ (as in \(te^\text{3}\ i^\text{1} chhiu^\text{3} 綴伊唱 ‘sing after him/her’, \(te^\text{3}\ cha^\text{1} - boon^\text{2} 綴查某 ‘have an affair with a woman’), and \(kia^\text{5}\ 行 ‘walk, to date/have an intimate relation with’ (as in \(kia^\text{5}\ chi^\text{3} tiam^\text{2} ching^\text{3} 行閣點煩 ‘walk for an hour’ and \(kah^\text{4}\ i^\text{1} teh^\text{4} kia^\text{5}\ 合伊佇行 ‘date him or her, on intimate terms with him or her’). The distinction between stage-level interpretation and individual-level interpretation as shown in each pair of examples is parallel to the one between \(chhi^\text{2}\) 飼\(^\text{2}\) ‘feed’ and \(chhi^\text{1}\) 飼\(^\text{1}\) ‘raise’.\(^{19}\)

Before closing it should be noted that all sorts of events associated with 飼\(^\text{2}\) ‘feed’ can serve as an argument in the Davidsonian sense (Davidson 1967) for the temporal predicate (viz., the adverb). By contrast, 飼\(^\text{1}\) ‘raise’ in the construction with existential or generic readings is not amenable to such an interpretation. However, as shown below, both 飼\(^\text{2}\) ‘feed’ and 飼\(^\text{1}\) ‘raise’ can occur with the experiential marker pat⁴ 別:

(20) a. 1\(^\text{1}\) pat⁴ chhi⁷ niu⁵ - a²

伊別飼娘仔

‘He grew silkworms (once)’

b. Goa² m\(^\text{1}\) pat⁴ ing⁷ kut⁴- thau⁵ chhi⁷ (ke³) kau² - a²

我勿別用骨頭飼(著)狗仔

‘I have never fed the dog with any bone’

Thus, in Davidsonian terms, pat⁴ 別 can be construed as a predicate that takes a state or activity as its argument.

---

\(^{18}\) The object need not be an animal-denoting noun, as in \(chhi^\text{2}\) chu⁴ 飼珠 ‘cultivate pearls’ (Tung 2001:251)

\(^{19}\) Given such a parallelism it is not economical to treat \(chhi^\text{2}\) 飼\(^\text{2}\) ‘feed’ and \(chhi^\text{1}\) 飼\(^\text{1}\) ‘raise’ as a pair of homophones.
3. Underspecification of verbs *ching* 种, *khng* 囗, *chhah* 插, and *toe* 填

The dynamicity of verbs such as *ching* 种 ‘to plant’, *khng* 囗 ‘put’, *chhah* 插 ‘insert’, and *toe* 填 ‘fill’ cannot be determined in isolation. It is only in sentence structure that we can pin down exactly what it means in terms of its Aktionsart. Each of them can be used to denote activity when preceded by the progressive marker *teh* 試 or accomplishment that involves a resulting state following a period of activity, in the sense of Vendler (1967). In the following examples, the (a) sentences are disposal constructions where the verb in question is dynamic, whereas the (b) and (c) sentences are existential constructions where the verb in question is static.

### khng 囗

(21) a. I1 chiong1 han1-chu5 khng3 ti7 tiaⁿ2 lai7 伊將番薯囗佇鼎里
   ‘She put the sweet potatoes in the wok’

b. Chai3-thau5 khng3 kui1 king1 chhu3 菜頭囗歸間厝
   ‘The radish filled the house’

b’. Ian5-pit4 khng3 ti7 toh4-a² ting2 鉛筆囗佇書桌仔頂
   ‘The pencil was on the table’

c. Ti5 piah4 kha’i pin1 khng3 kui’e⁵ choa² te² a² 佇壁骹邊囗幾個紙袋仔
   ‘At the corner of the wall were several paper bags’

### ching 种

(22) a. Ban2-a¹ chiong1 khun5 chhai3 ching3 ti7 chhai3-hng5 a² lai7 萬仔將芹菜種佇菜園仔裡
   ‘Billy planted the celery in the vegetable garden’

b. Te⁴ ching3 ti7 soaⁿ⁴ ting⁵ 茶種佇山頂
   ‘There were tea shrubs on the hilltop’

c. Kau1 a² pin1 ching3 chin¹ choe⁷ thoo⁵ tau⁷ 佇仔邊種真濟塗豆
   ‘Lots of peanuts were planted near the ditch’

### chhah 插

(23) a. Cha¹-boo² koaⁿ¹ chiong1 hiuⁿ¹ chhah4 ti7 hiuⁿ¹ loo⁵ lai7 查某官將香插佇香爐裡
   ‘The girl stuck the joss stick into the incense burner’

b. Moo⁵ pit⁴ chhah⁴ ti7 pit⁴ tang⁵ lai⁷ 毛筆插佇筆筒裡
   ‘Writing brushes were put in the pen holder’
To recapitulate, the constructions in which verbs in this group can occur are reducible to the following three basic types represented schematically:

(25) (Xagent-prep-Ytheme-V-prep-Zloc-localizer)
(26) (Ytheme-V-prep-Zloc-localizer)
(27) (Zloc-localizer-V-Ytheme)

Evidently, the verb in this category can occur either in front of the locative expression, as in sentences (b), or after it, as in sentences (c). By contrast, other locomotive verbs like pe¹ ‘fly’, siu⁵ ‘swim’, and chau² ‘run’ can only occur after the locative expression. They are not verbs of accomplishment and cannot occur in a locative construction denoting a static situation. A sentence like *Pi⁵ a² lai⁵ siu⁵ hí¹ ‘Grass carp are swimming in the reservoir’ is unacceptable in TSM, whereas it is perfectly okay to say Chi² tang² li³ you³ ‘the grass carp are swimming in the reservoir’ in Mandarin.

4. Toa³ 蹺, tiam³/tam³ 店*, ti⁷ 仔 and khia⁷ 齊

   Tod³ 齊, tiam³/tam³ 店* and ti⁷ 仔 are coverbs, since each of them can function as verb or preposition, depending on the sentence pattern in which it occurs. A coverb can

---

20 This is a riddle-like two-part expression, xie¹-hou⁴ yu¹ 歇後語, in TSM in which the first part is explicitly stated and the second part is to be filled by the addressee. In this case the calculated meaning is ‘cannot be seen or made out’, since both the bottle and its contents are black.
be regarded as a word at the transitional stage in grammaticalization of verb to preposition. *Toa³ 蹉 and *tiam³/tam³ 店* seem to be free variants. When used as a stage-level predicate, each of them means ‘remain in a certain place for a short period of time’, or less cumbersomely ‘stay, stop’, as in:

(28) Giok⁴-a₂ toa³/tiam³ in¹ ping⁵-iu² tau¹ nng⁷ saⁿ¹ kang¹
    菊仔蹛/店*因*朋友兜兩三工
    ‘Lisa stayed with her friend for several days’
(29) Hok⁴-a² e²-hng¹-am⁷ toa³/tiam³ li²-sia’
    福仔下暗蹛/店*旅社
    ‘Ben stopped at the hotel for the night’
(30) I¹ beh⁴ toa³/tiam³ mi⁵
    伊卜蹛/店*暝
    ‘He would like to stay overnight’

They can also be used as an individual-level predicate denoting remaining in a place permanently, or at least for a longer period of time, as in:

(31) Tan⁵·ka toa³/tiam³ chng¹-kha¹ 陳家蹛/店*莊較
    ‘The Chens live in the countryside’
(32) I¹ toa³/tiam³ kong¹-boe⁷ kiok⁸ 伊蹛/店*公賣局
    ‘He was employed in the government monopoly bureau’

We can see that while the difference between stage-level predicate and individual-predicate is coded lexically in English, it has to be arrived at by the semantic co-composition of the verb and its object, a co-composition that may have to be supported by pragmatic information.

(33) In¹ ta¹-poo² choo² iah⁴ ti²-leh 因*查甫祖抑佇•咧
    ‘His grandpa is still alive’
(34) U⁷ lang⁵ ti²-leh bo? 有儂佇咧無?
    ‘Is anybody home?’

*Ti⁷ 佇 as a pure verb of existence in both of the above sentences can not be replaced by *toa³/tiam³. On the other hand, when used as prepositions, *toa³ 蹉, *tiam³/tam³ 店* and *ti⁷ 佇 are interchangeable, and locative prepositional phrases can occur preverbally or postverbally, subject to the constraints of the semantics of the verbs in question, as in:
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(35)  U7 chit8 e5 lau7 a1 peh4 toa3/tiam3/ti7 chhiu7 a2 kha1 chhiu7 chhin3
有一個老阿伯蹛店*/佇樹仔骹秋凊
‘An old man cooled himself under the tree’
(36)  Ti3 ti7 ke2 khng3 toa3/tiam3/ti7 chau3 ting3
甜粿囥蹛店*/佇灶頂
‘The sweet rice cake was put on the kitchen stove’

Unlike the coverbs toa3蹛, tiam3/tam3店*, ti7佇, khia7徛 can only function as a verb, although its meaning has experienced a semantic shift from a posture verb ‘stand’ to an individual-level predicate meaning ‘live somewhere permanently, as in Ong5 sio2-chiah8 khia7 Tam7-chui2 王小姐徛淡水 ‘Miss Wang lives in Tanshui’. Toa3蹛 and tiam3/tam3店*, on the one hand, and ti7佇, on the other, are exactly interchangeable in all cases. For example,

(37)  Che7 toa3/tiam3 hia1 tan2 goa2! 坐蹛/店*遐等我!
‘Sit there waiting for me!’
(38)  Che7 ti7 ·leh4 hia1 tan2 goa2 坐佇™咧遐等我
‘(He) sat there waiting for me’

The use of different types of preposition makes a difference in sentence interpretation. It is obvious that the selection of preposition is related to the shift of mood. The first sentence is in imperative mood, whereas the second sentence is in declarative mood and a description of a static situation. In a sense, mood is coded lexically and structurally in this case.

Another case of lexical coding of mood is that types of mood change with the shift of pronominal forms. Plural forms of personal pronouns are used in place of singular forms in genitive construction. For example, TSM for ‘my father’ is gun2 lau7-pe7阮老爸 instead of goa2 e5 lau7-pe7 我老爸 where gun2 meaning ‘we/us’ is the exclusive first person plural form whereas goa2 我 is the first person singular form. Only in the exclamatory mood is goa2 我 used, as in goa2 kian2 我囝 ‘Oh, my son!’: Thus, gun2 kia2阮囝 is in indicative mood whereas goa2 kia2 我囝 is in exclamatory mood’.21

5. The chameleon 倒

倒 boasts of a range of uses and can be regarded as an amphibious form functioning both as lexeme and morpheme (i.e., grammatical function word), depending on sentence patterns. To2倒 and to3倒, which represent two separate lexemes, form a pair of

21 Huang (1959) observes that such a special construction is used as a vocative. But he does not consider it on the sentential level.
homographs. Aside from their semantic distinction there is a phonological (viz., tonal) difference between them. At first blush, one might think that to\textsuperscript{3} 倒 ‘dump, pour, return’ differs from to\textsuperscript{2} 倒 ‘fall, lie down’ in terms of the presence or absence of the semantic feature [volitionality], but because of the analytic nature of modern Chinese (Lien 1999), the situation seems to be more complicated than expected, and one can detect a subtle interaction between lexical and constructional aspects of meaning. For example, (39a) is dynamic, whereas (39b) and (39c) are static. Intriguingly enough, (39c) is subject to two interpretations. It can refer to a non-volitional state or a volitional act. It has an unambiguous volitional reading with the presence of the adverb thiau\textsuperscript{1}-ti\textsuperscript{3} 刑致 ‘deliberately’.

(39) a. Chiu\textsuperscript{2}-a\textsuperscript{2} to\textsuperscript{2} loh\textsuperscript{6} khi\textsuperscript{3} a 樹仔倒落去矣 ‘The tree has fallen down’
   b. Bin\textsuperscript{5}-chhung\textsuperscript{5} ting\textsuperscript{2} to\textsuperscript{2} chit\textsuperscript{8} e\textsuperscript{4} pi\textsuperscript{n7} lang\textsuperscript{5} 眼床頂倒個病人 ‘There is a sick person lying in bed’
   c. I\textsuperscript{1} (thiau\textsuperscript{1}-ti\textsuperscript{3}) to\textsuperscript{2} teh\textsuperscript{4} khun\textsuperscript{2} 伊(刑致)倒著喝 ‘He lay sleeping (on purpose)’

Likewise, both to\textsuperscript{2} siau\textsuperscript{1} 倒數 ‘welsh on a debt, jump a bill’ and to\textsuperscript{2} tiam\textsuperscript{3} 倒店 ‘go bankrupt’ are dynamic, but they are non-committal as to the semantic feature of volitionality, whereas (40) constitutes a volitional activity:

(40) Li\textsuperscript{2} tang\textsuperscript{1}-si\textsuperscript{5} beh\textsuperscript{4} to\textsuperscript{3} khi\textsuperscript{3} Tai\textsuperscript{5}-lam\textsuperscript{5}? 汝當時卜倒去台南? ‘When are you going back to Tainan?’

When to\textsuperscript{2} 倒 functions as an attributive adjective, it is static, as in to\textsuperscript{3} bin\textsuperscript{7} 倒面 ‘the opposite side’. Obviously the nature of either to\textsuperscript{3} or to\textsuperscript{2} cannot be determined in isolation and its semantic and syntactic properties have to be teased out in terms of the types of construction in which it occurs.

Thus, volitionality is not a decisive feature to distinguish to\textsuperscript{3} 倒 and to\textsuperscript{2} 倒. But it seems to play a pivotal role in drawing a line between thoo\textsuperscript{2} 吐 ‘to spit’ (volitional) and thoo\textsuperscript{3} 吐 ‘vomit’ (non-volitional), as exemplified in (41) and (42), although it is disputable whether the tonal alternation in question is a bona fide derivational process, as they constitute pretty isolated, rare cases.

(41) In\textsuperscript{1} ang\textsuperscript{1} thoo\textsuperscript{2} chit\textsuperscript{8} e\textsuperscript{5} toa\textsuperscript{7} khui\textsuperscript{3} 因*狂吐一個大氣 ‘Her husband heaved a long sigh’
(42) I\textsuperscript{1} chiu\textsuperscript{2} lim\textsuperscript{1} siu\textsuperscript{n7} choe\textsuperscript{7} tioh\textsuperscript{8} e\textsuperscript{2} thoo\textsuperscript{3} 伊酒飲傷腸著會吐 ‘Whenever he had a cup too much he would throw up’
6. Unaccusative verbs vs. unergative verbs

The semantic category of directionality can be coded in a variety of ways. It can be coded lexically, morphologically, or syntactically. An example of lexical coding is ka3 教 ‘teach’ and oh8 學 ‘learn’ with a suppletive relationship. There are two types of morphological coding: (1) internal modification, viz., either segmental (initial or final) alternation or tonal alternation, and (2) affixation. For internal modification, one only finds instances of tonal alternation, as in boe2 买 ‘buy’ and boe3 買 ‘sell’; an example of affixation is provided by the contrast between kaufen ‘buy’ and verkaufen ‘sell’ in German. Examples of syntactic coding is furnished by verbs such as choo1 租 ‘rent’, se3 稅 ‘rent’, chioh4 借 ‘borrow/lend’, pun1 分 ‘give/get’, and kho2 考 ‘examine/be examined’; their directionality is determined by reference to the construction in which they occurs.22 The case explored below is a kind of syntactical coding, which also involves covert categories.

It has been recently proposed that there are two kinds of intransitive verbs: (1) unaccusative verbs, and (2) unergative verbs. Levin & Rappaport Hovav (1995) advance a set of distinctive features that distinguish between them, as summed up in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unaccusative verbs</th>
<th>Unergative verbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Internal argument only</td>
<td>External argument only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B It has an underlying object</td>
<td>It has an underlying subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Externally caused verbs</td>
<td>Internally caused verbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Its argument is the same as the object of the transitive verb</td>
<td>Its argument is not coterminous with the object of the transitive verb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E There is a related transitive causative verb</td>
<td>No related transitive causative verb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distinctive features listed above represent many aspects in the grammatical system

---

22 The syntactical coding is labeled in traditionally grammar as shi1 shou4 tong2 ci2 施受同辭; see Yang 1974:4-6. Chinese scholars are often puzzled by the phenomenon that ‘the same word’ can be interpreted in a mutually contradictory manner. In fact, there are two types of shi1 shou4 tong2 ci2. On the one hand, the same word can be used to denote direct/inverse activity, as in choo1 租, where directionality is coded structurally. On the other hand are homographs, exemplified by 食 and 養, where tonal alternation plays a derivational role. See note 15 for further discussion.

23 The patterning of an unaccusative verb with its causative counterpart, as shown in the relation between break (v.i.) and break (v.t.), is generally referred to as ergativity (Lyons 1968:350-371).
including argument structure, semantic properties and syntactic pattern. In the interest of understanding the above table, a distinction should be made between argument structure and grammatical function. Argument structure is constructed on the basis of the \( n \)-tuple argument that a predicate can take, whereas grammatical function refers to the grammatical function such as subject and object that a noun phrase assumes in a sentence. External argument is the argument beyond the maximal project of a VP, and internal argument is in the VP. The distinctive features listed in A and B are motivated on cross-linguistic evidence. An internally caused verb involves the situation in which some inherent properties of the verb in question are responsible for bringing about its eventuality, whereas an externally caused verb denotes the eventuality that can be attributed to an external cause. It is therefore quite evident that an externally caused verb is intimately related to a causative verb, whether they are morphologically related or not.

As unergative verbs, chhio⁴ 笑 ‘laugh’, khau⁴ 哭 ‘cry’, pe⁵ 飞 ‘fly’, siu⁵ 游 ‘swim’, pe⁵ 爬 ‘crawl’, and so on, are volitional, internally caused, and have an underlying subject. Consider each pair of the following sentences:

(43) a. Gun² boo² ti⁴ leh⁴ chhio³ goa² ti³ e⁵ hit⁴ ting² chhau²-loe⁷-a² 阮某佇咧笑我戴的許頂草笠仔²⁴ ‘My wife was laughing because of the straw hat that I wore’
b. Gun² boo² ti⁴ leh⁴ chhio³ 阮某佇咧笑 ‘My wife was laughing’
c. Gin²-a² ti⁴ leh⁴ khau³ chhui³ ta¹ 囍仔佇咧哭喙焦²⁵ ‘The child was crying for thirst’
d. Gin²-a² ti⁴ leh⁴ khau³ 囍仔佇咧哭 ‘The child was crying’

The verb in each instance is a one-place predicate; it is predicated of the subject, and the object is not a complement, but rather an adjunct of the predicate. It is volitional since it can be used in the imperative.

By contrast, as shown in the following sentences, lak⁴ 落 ‘shed’, lau⁴ 落 ‘shed’, hoat⁴ 發 ‘produce’, poah⁸ ting⁷ 腦斷 ‘break by stripping’, phah⁴-m⁷-kin³ 拍勿見 ‘lose’, lau⁵ 流 ‘shed’, si⁷ 生 ‘produce’, lau⁵ 留 ‘grow’, choai⁷ 肺 ‘sprain’, chhoa⁷ 拖

²⁴ Chhio⁴ 笑 as an unergative intransitive verb is different from chhio⁴ 笑 as a transitive verb, as in m¹ thang' chhio⁴ lang’ toa’-khoo’ 勿通笑儂大箍 ‘Don’t laugh at others’ obesity’.
²⁵ It should be noted that when followed by an adjunct the verb has undergone a metaphorical extension in the (a) sentences and is not taken in its literal sense. For example, khau⁴ kan¹’-khoo’ 哭艱苦 means ‘complain about hardship’.
‘release involuntarily’, and so on, are each an unaccusative/ergative predicate denoting an involuntary activity.

(44) I¹ lak⁴ nng⁷ ki¹ chhui³ khi² 伊落兩枝喙齒
     ‘He shed two teeth’

(45) Chiau²-a² lau³ mng⁶ 燕仔落毛
     ‘The bird molted’

(46) Ching⁵-chhui³ tih⁴ lak⁴ hioh⁸ a² 榕樹佇落箬仔
     ‘The banyan shed its leaves’

(47) Chhui⁷-a⁵ tih⁸ hoat⁴ tâu² 樹仔佇發引
     ‘The tree is budding’

(48) I¹ poah⁸ tng⁷ chhui² kut⁴ 伊跋斷手骨
     ‘He broke his hand’

(49) I¹ phah⁴-m⁷-kin³ chhui²-pio²-a² 伊拍勿見手錶
     ‘He lost his watch’

(50) I¹ lau⁵ bak⁸-sai² 伊流目屎
     ‘He shed his tear’

(51) I¹ lau⁷ phi⁷ (chui²) 伊流鼻(水)
     ‘He had a runny nose’

(52) I¹ lau⁷ chin¹ choe⁸ koa¹ 伊流真溼汗
     ‘He sweated profusely’

(53) I¹ si¹ liap⁸ a² 伊生粒仔
     ‘He had a boil’

(54) I¹ lau⁵ chhui²-chhui¹ 伊留鬚鬚
     ‘He grows a beard’

(55) I¹ chai⁷ tioh⁸ kha¹ 伊捲著鬚
     ‘He sprained his foot’

(56) I¹ tia⁷-tia⁷ m⁵-si chhoa⁷ li⁷ 伊定定睡睡脹尾
     ‘He often wet the bed at night’

The verb in question takes the element following it as its underlying object. Since each sentence has a subject and an object, one might be misled into thinking the verb is a two-place predicate, but this is not the case. Closer examination will show that there is a part-whole relation between subject and object or a possessive relation. Each sentence has an inheritance link with a construction where subject and object are merged into a

26 Phah⁴-m⁷-ki⁷ 拍勿見 literally cause-not-seen has become a fusional word phang⁴-khi⁷ ‘lose’ in modern TSM.
possessive construction with the object as the head. For example, Ching5-chhiu7 ti7 leh4 lak4 hioh8 a2 ‘The banyan is shedding its leaves’ is linked to Ching5-chhiu7 e5 hioh8 a2 lak4 loh5 lai5 a ‘The leaves of the banyan tree have been shed’. It is therefore justifiable to regard the verbs in this class as one-place predicates. Unlike English where the sole noun that the unaccusative verb takes has to be preposed to the preverbal position in syntactic structure, TSM witnesses it in situ in the object position. This behavior seems to lend support to the claim that unaccusative verbs involve an internal argument.

As unaccusative verbs denote externally caused activity, they are related to causative verbs in some cases. For example, verbs like thng3 are of amphibious nature and function both as unaccusative and causative verbs. Traditionally ergativity refers to the situation in which the relation between the object of the transitive verb is the same as the relation between the subject of the intransitive verb (Lyons 1968:350-371). Compare a pair of sentences like this:

(57) a. Am1-phoo2-chhe5-a2 e7 thng3 khak4
   ‘The cicada will shed its skin’
   b. Am1-phoo2-chhe5-a2 e5 khak4 thng3 liau2 a
   ‘The skin of the cicada has been completely shed’

Thng3 褪 constitutes a case of ergativity since its relation with the object in (57a) as well as (58) is coterminal with its relation with its subject in (57b).

(58) A1-ma2 teh4 ka7 A1 Hong1 thng3 sa4i 阿嬤佇共阿鋒褪衫
   ‘Grandma was removing Tommy’s clothes’

A similar inheritance link exists between (59a) and (59b):

(59) a. 1l1 lau3 sai2 伊落屎
   ‘He had a loose bowel’
   b. 1l1 ti7 leh4 lau3 chui2 伊佇咧落水
   ‘She is draining water’

Again, ergativity is discernible in 落 lau3 as it is by itself underspecified with respect to the two senses of ‘(the liquid) flow from (a source)’ and ‘to drain (water)’. The first meaning, as in (59a), involves a nonvolitional activity, and two NPs have a part-whole relationship, whereas the second meaning, as in (59b), denotes a volitional activity as well as causativity. It is in the first sense that 落 lau3 functions as an unaccusative verb.
We have distinguished between unaccusative verbs and unergative verbs on the basis of their semantic and syntactic properties with special attention to the covert categories involved, including in particular the semantic features of volitionality and part/whole relationship.\(^{27}\) Verbs have been classified in either way by subjecting them to diagnostic tests. But there are also verbs which are of amphibious nature. They can function both as unergative verbs and unaccusative verbs. The intransitive verb \(\text{chau}^2 \text{ 走}\) is a case in point. Consider the following two sets of sentences:\(^{28}\)

\begin{align*}
(60) \text{a. } & \text{chau}^2 \text{ hoan}^1\text{-a}^2 \text{ hoan}^2 \text{ 走番仔反} \text{ ‘flee foreign rebelling’} \\
& \text{b. } \text{chau}^2 \text{ thoo}^2\text{-hui}^2 \text{ 走土匪} \text{ ‘escape from bandits’} \\
& \text{c. } \text{thau}^1 \text{ chau}^2 \text{ chu}^1 \text{ 偷走書} \text{ ‘play truant’}\(^{29}\) \\
& \text{d. } \text{chau}^2 \text{ se}^3 \text{ 走稅} \text{ ‘evade taxes’} \\
& \text{e. } \text{chau}^2 \text{ sai}^1 \text{ pak}^8 \text{ hoo}^7 \text{ 走西北雨} \text{ ‘seek shelter from sudden downpour’}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
(61) \text{a. } & (\text{hiah}^8\text{-kong}^2) \text{ chau}^2 \text{ chui}^2 (\text{靴管}) \text{ 走水} \text{ ‘(e.g., rain boots) leak water’} \\
& \text{b. } \text{chau}^2 \text{ tien}^7 \text{ 走電} \text{ ‘leak electricity’} \\
& \text{c. } \text{chau}^2 \text{ bi}^7 \text{ 走味} \text{ ‘lose flavour’} \\
& \text{d. } \text{chau}^2 \text{ im}^1 \text{ 走音} \text{ ‘be out of tune’} \\
& \text{e. } (\text{chhia}^1 \text{ lian}^2) \text{ chau}^2 \text{ hong}^1 (\text{車轆}) \text{ 走風} \text{ ‘(of tire) leak’}
\end{align*}

Sentences in (60) feature unergative verbs, and each verb is predicated of the subject rather than the object, as in \(\text{Lau}^2\text{-peh}^4\text{-st}^2\text{ ti}^7 \text{ leh}^4 \text{ chau}^3 \text{ hoan}^1\text{-a}^2 \text{ hoan}^2 \text{ 老百姓} \text{佇咧走番仔反} \text{ ‘People were fleeing foreign rebellion’} \) where \text{chau}^3 \text{ 走} is predicated of the subject \text{Lau}^2\text{-peh}^4\text{-st}^2 \text{ 老百姓} ‘common people’. The object noun carries the semantic role of source and it can be dropped without affecting the semantic relation between subject and verb. Conversely, sentences in (61) embrace unaccusative verbs each of which is predicated of object rather than subject, as in \((\text{hiah}^8\text{-kong}^2) \text{ chau}^2 \text{ chui}^2 (\text{靴管}) \text{ 走水} \text{ ‘(e.g., rain boots) leak water’} \) where \text{chau}^2 \text{ 走} is predicated of the object \text{chui}^2 \text{ 水} ‘water’, rather than the subject \text{hiah}^8\text{-kong}^2 \text{ 靴管}. The word order of the VN

---

\(^{27}\) See Chappell and McGregor (1995) for a collection of papers on exploring the part-whole relation in a typological perspective.

\(^{28}\) Chao (1968:310) shows that the VN construction realized as \text{xiu}^1 \text{ che}^1 \text{ 修車} ‘repair the car, have the car repaired’, \text{xì yì\text{-shang} 洗衣裳} ‘wash clothes, have the clothes washed’, and \text{shua}^1 \text{xie}^2 \text{ 鋪鞋} ‘shine the shoes, have one’s shoes shined’ in Mandarin is ambiguous between plain meaning and causative meaning. So causative interpretation constitutes a covert category associated with this construction. Unlike the VN constructions in (60) and (61), where the interpretation is based on semantic and syntactic factors, the VN construction in Mandarin that Chao gives has to be interpreted on the basis of contextual considerations.

\(^{29}\) It literally means ‘escape from books on the sly’. A similar expression is \text{chau}^2 \text{ oh}^4 \text{ 走學} ‘escape from learning/school’.
construction can be reversed and the semantic role of the NP remains unchanged, as in chau² bi⁷ 走味 ‘lose flavor’ and Bi⁷ chau² liau⁵ a 味走了矣 ‘Flavor has all been lost’. In terms of conceptual structure, sentences in (60) can be characterized as Xagent⁵ V⁵ Ysource, and those in sentences (61) feature Xsource⁵ location⁵ V⁵ Ytheme. The activity denoted by sentences in (60) involves an agent and it is therefore a volitional act, whereas the subject in each sentence (61) is not an agent, and therefore does not engage in a voluntary activity. In the latter case there is also a part-whole relationship between subject and object.

The verbs in the ‘subject’-less constructions denoting meteorological condition shown below can be also regarded as belonging to the class of unaccusative verbs:

(62) a. Thau³ lam⁵ hong¹ 透南風
   ‘There is a wind blowing southward’

b. Loh⁵ toa⁷ hoo⁷ 落大雨
   ‘There is a heavy rain/It rained heavily’

c. Choh⁴ hong¹-thai¹ 做風颱
   ‘There is a typhoon/We had a typhoon’

d. Tan⁵ lui⁵-kong¹ 霧雷公
   ‘It is thundering’

e. Choe⁵ toa³-chui² 作大水
   ‘There is a flood/we had a flood’

The N in the V-N construction as shown above carries new information. Its word order can be reversed and still makes sense, but there is a difference in the information packaging. The preposed N will carry old information, instead. Thus, N in V-N, as in loh⁸ hoo⁷ 落雨 ‘It rained’ and N in N-V, as in hoo⁷ loh⁸ 雨落 ‘the rain took place’, share the semantic role of theme and meet the defining feature of unaccusative verbs. The position supposed to be occupied by ‘subject’ can be filled by locative or temporal expressions, as in Lam⁵-poo⁷ choe³ toa³-chui² 南部做大水 ‘There was a flood in the south’ and Cha¹-mu¹ loh⁸ toa³ hoo⁷ 昨暝落大雨 ‘It rained heavily last night’. Such meteorological sentences occur in existential constructions featuring the template of loc/temp-V-Ntheme and express dynamic (rather than static) existence where activity involved is externally caused by an implicit agent in nature.

30 Note that no inheritance link can be established for an unergative verb like pe⁵ 飛 ‘fly’, since chiau² pe⁵ 鳥飛 ‘the bird flies’ is a sentence, whereas pe⁵ chiau² 飛鳥 ‘the flying bird’ is a phrase.
7. Concluding remarks

The goal of this paper has been the uncovering of covert categories in TSM. First of all, we build our case by examining the distinction between two related uses of the lexeme *chhi7* in terms of semantic and syntactic criteria, including sentence types (such as existential and generic sentences), argument structure and semantic role, the notion of divisibility, the experience marker, activity vs. event without internal structure, and the selectional restriction of the verbs. Second, we look into a set of underspecified verbs such as *ching3* 种, *khng3* 坑, *to2* 倒, *chhah4* 插, and *toe2* 贬. We argue that the presence of the semantic feature of dynamicity hinges on the construction in which they occur. Third, we come to grips with verbs such as *toa3* 蹑, *tiam3/tam3* 店*, *ti7* 伫 and *khia7* 徛, and we find that a distinction between stage-level use and individual-level use can be made. Lastly, we put forth two kinds of intransitives: unaccusative verbs and unergative accusatives, in terms of sentence types on which a semantic feature (volitionality) depends.

As Chinese shifts from a synthetic language to an analytic one, morphological ways of marking grammatical categories have given way to syntactic ways of marking. An important consequence of such a typological change is the reassignment of the built-in information on lexemes to construction types, and this is where covert categories in Chinese lie and can be fruitfully explored.31 Studies in this paper show that constructions play an important role in contributing meanings to sentence interpretation in consonant with the claim of the theory of construction grammar (Fillmore et al. 1988, Goldberg 1995, Jackendoff 1997 & Cheng 1999).

---

31 The issues pertaining to word classes and subject and object are intimately related to the typological nature of Chinese. There is perennial interest in tackling these problems (see Hu 1996, Lyu et al. 1956 among many others).
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追蹤台灣閩南語的隱性語法範疇：
動詞語義的認知途徑
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找出語法範疇的表現方式是語言研究的主要課題 (Whorf 1945, 1956)。語法範疇有多重表現方式，其中不外乎詞彙、音韻、形態或句法四種表現方式。漢語音義表現的類型演變可歸結為詞彙／形態表現到句法表現的變遷。最近的構造語法 (Fillmore et al. 1988, Goldberg 1995 & Jackendoff 1997) 主張，構造對句子能夠貢獻新的語義，結構表現法的探索和構造語法的趨勢正好吻合。

本文旨在發掘台灣閩南語的隱性語法範疇。隱性範疇可以根據構造類型加以論證。有些動詞本身某方面的語義屬性沒有言明，其特殊而精微的語義非得從構造的觀察入手不可。台灣閩南語的 chhi7 “饲” 正足以闡明這點。這個詞至少有兩個相關聯的語義，相當於國語的 “養” 和 “餵”。這兩個語義可以按照以下幾個方面區分開來：(1) 存在式、(2) 論元結構和語意角色、(3) 可分性和不可分性、(4) 時間的久暫、(5) 泛指和特指、(6) 時貌、(7) 基本義和延伸義。

剩下的篇幅談論相關的動詞。我們先就其他類似語義未言明的動詞，如 ching3 “種”、khng3 “囥”、chhah4 “插”、toe2 “貯”、khia7 “徛”、ti7 “佇” 等動詞的語義精微性。再者，我們從結構入手，捕捉 “倒” 多變的語義特性。末了我們依據多半是隱性的語法屬性劃分非對格動詞和非作格動詞，兩者都是不及物動詞的次類。

關鍵詞：隱性，語法範疇，非對格，非作格，不及物，不設定，台灣閩南語